arXiv:1511.00276v2 [math-ph] 10 Nov 2015

GREEN'S FUNCTION ASYMPTOTICS OF PERIODIC ELLIPTIC OPERATORS ON ABELIAN COVERINGS OF COMPACT MANIFOLDS

MINH KHA

Abstract. The main results of this article provide asymptotics at infinity of the Green's functions near and at the spectral gap edges for "generic" periodic second-order elliptic operators on noncompact Riemannian co-compact coverings with abelian deck groups. Previously, analogous results have been known for the case of $\mathbb{R}^n$ only. One of the interesting features discovered is that the rank of the deck group plays more important role than the dimension of the manifold.

1. Introduction

The behavior at infinity of the Green's function of the Laplacian $-\Delta$ on an Euclidean space below and at the boundary of the spectrum is well known. The main term of the asymptotics for the Green's function of any bounded below periodic second-order elliptic operator below and at the bottom of the spectrum was found in [7, 28] (see also [41] for discrete setting). For such operators, the band-gap structure of their spectra is known (e.g., [11, 34]), and thus, spectral gaps may exist. Thus, it is interesting to derive the behavior of the Green's functions inside and at the edges of these gaps. Recently, the corresponding results for a "generic" periodic elliptic operator in $\mathbb{R}^n$ were established in [18, 25].

Meanwhile, many classical properties of solutions of periodic Schrödinger operators on Euclidean spaces were generalized successfully to solutions of periodic Schrödinger operators on coverings of compact manifolds (see e.g., [3,8,9,21,24,26,38,39]). Hence, a question arises of whether one can obtain analogs of the results of [18, 25] as well. The main theorems 3.1 and 3.4 of this article provide such results for periodic operators on an abelian covering of a compact Riemannian manifold. The results are in line with Gromov's idea that the large scale geometry of a co-compact normal covering is captured mostly by its deck transformation group (see e.g., [10, 13, 36]). For instance, the dimension of the covering manifold does not enter explicitly to the asymptotics. Rather, the torsion-free rank d of the abelian deck transformation group influences these asymptotics significantly. One can find a similar effect in various results involving analysis on Riemannian co-compact normal coverings such as the long time asymptotic behaviors of the heat kernel on a noncompact abelian Riemannian covering [22], and the analogs of Liouville's theorem [24] (see also [36] for an excellent survey on analysis on co-compact coverings).

We discuss now the main thrust of this paper.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35P99, 35J08, 35J10, 35J15, 58J05, 58J37, 58J50.

Key words and phrases. Green's function; asymptotics; periodic elliptic operators; abelian covers.

Let X be a noncompact Riemannian manifold that is a normal abelian covering of a compact Riemannian manifold M with the deck transformation group G. For any function u on X and any $g \in G$, we denote by u^g the "shifted" function

$$u^g(x) = u(g \cdot x),$$

for any $x \in X$. Consider a bounded below second-order elliptic operator L on the manifold X with smooth coefficients. We assume that L is a **periodic** operator on X, i.e., the following invariance condition holds:

$$Lu^g = (Lu)^g,$$

for any $g \in G$ and $u \in C_c^\infty(X)$. The operator L, with the Sobolev space $H^2(X)$ as its domain, is an unbounded self-adjoint operator in $L^2(X)$.

The following result for such operators is well-known (see e.g., [8,11,23,34,38,39]):

Theorem 1.1. *The spectrum of the above operator L in $L^2(X)$ has a **band-gap structure**, i.e., it is the union of a sequence of closed bounded intervals $[\alpha_j, \beta_j] \subset \mathbb{R}$ $(j = 1, 2, ...)$ (**bands** or **stability zones** of the operator L):*

$$\sigma(L) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} [\alpha_j, \beta_j],$$

such that $\alpha_j \leq \alpha_{j+1}$, $\beta_j \leq \beta_{j+1}$ and $\lim_{j\to\infty} \alpha_j = \infty$.

The bands can overlap when the dimension of the covering X is greater than 1, but they can leave open intervals in between, called **spectral gaps**.

Definition 1.2. A **finite spectral gap** is of the form (β_j, α_{j+1}) for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha_{j+1} > \beta_j$, and the **semifinite spectral gap** is the open interval $(-\infty, \alpha_1)$, which contains all real numbers below the bottom of the spectrum of L.

In this text, we study Green's function asymptotics for the operator L at an energy level $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, such that λ belongs to the union of all closures of finite spectral gaps[1]. We divide this into two cases:

- **Case I:** (*Spectral gap interior*) The level λ is in a finite spectral gap (β_j, α_{j+1}) such that λ is close either to the spectral edge β_j or to the spectral edge α_{j+1}.
- **Case II:** (*Spectral edge case*) The level λ coincides with one of the spectral edges of some finite spectral gap, i.e., $\lambda = \alpha_{j+1}$ (lower edge) or $\lambda = \beta_j$ (upper edge) for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

In Case I, the Green's function $G_\lambda(x, y)$ is the Schwartz kernel of the resolvent operator $R_{\lambda,L} := (L - \lambda)^{-1}$, while in Case II, it is the Schwartz kernel of the weak limit of resolvent operators $R_{\lambda,L} := (L - \lambda \pm \varepsilon)^{-1}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ (the sign $\pm$ depends on whether λ is an upper or a lower spectral edge). Note that in the flat case $X = \mathbb{R}^d$, Green's function asymptotics of periodic elliptic operators were obtained in [18] for Case I, and in [25] for Case II. As in [18, 25], we will deduce all asymptotics from an assumed "generic" spectral edge behavior of the **dispersion relation** of the operator L, which we will briefly review in Section 2.

[1]All of the results still hold for the case when λ does not exceed the bottom of the spectrum, i.e. for the semi-infinite gap.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Subsection 2.1, we will review some general notions and results about group actions on abelian coverings. Then in Subsection 2.2, we introduce additive and multiplicative functions defined on an abelian covering, which will be needed for writting down the main formulae of Green's function asymptotics. Subsection 2.3 contains not only a brief introduction to periodic elliptic operators on abelian coverings, but also the necessary notations and assumptions for formulating the asymptotics. The main results of this paper are stated in Section 3. In Section 4, the Floquet-Bloch theory is recalled and the problem is reduced to studying a scalar integral. Some auxiliary statements that appeared in [18,25] are collected in Section 5, and the final proofs of the main results are provided in Section 6. Section 7 provides the proofs of some technical claims that were postponed from previous sections. The last sections contain some concluding remarks and acknowledgements.

2. Notions and preliminary results

2.1. **Group actions and abelian coverings.**

Let X be a noncompact smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension n equipped with an **isometric, properly discontinuous, free**, and **co-compact** action of an **finitely generated abelian discrete group** G. The action of an element $g \in G$ on $x \in X$ is denoted by $g \cdot x$. Due to our conditions, the orbit space $M = X/G$ is a **compact** smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension n when equipped with the metric pushed down from X. We assume that X and M are connected. Thus, we are dealing with a normal abelian covering of a compact manifold

$$X \xrightarrow{\pi} M(= X/G),$$

where G is the deck group of the covering π.

Let $d_X(\cdot,\cdot)$ be the distance metric on the Riemannian manifold X. It is known that X is a complete Riemannian manifold since it is a Riemannian covering of a compact Riemannian manifold M (see e.g., [10]). Thus, for any two points p and q in X, $d_X(p,q)$ is the length of a length minimizing geodesic connecting these two points.

Let S be any finite generating set of the deck group G. We define the **word length** $|g|_S$ of $g \in G$ to be the number of generators in the shortest word representing g as a product of elements in S:

$$|g|_S = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid g = s_1 \dots s_n, s_i \in S\}.$$

The **word metric d_S on G with respect to S** is the metric on G defined by the formula

$$d_S(g,h) = |g^{-1}h|_S$$

for any $g, h \in G$.

We introduce a notion in geometric group theory due to Gromov that we will need here (see e.g., [27,30]).

Definition 2.1. Let Y, Z be metric spaces. A map $f : Y \to Z$ is called a **quasi-isometry**, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- There are constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that
$$C_1^{-1} d_Y(x,y) - C_2 \leq d_Z(f(x), f(y)) \leq C_1 d_Y(x,y) + C_2$$
for all $x, y \in Y$.
- The image $f(Y)$ is a net in Z, i.e., there is some constant $C > 0$ so that if $z \in Z$, then there exists $y \in Y$ such that $d_Z(f(y), z) < C$.

We remark that given any two finite generating sets S_1 and S_2 of G, the two word metrics d_{S_1} and d_{S_2} on G are equivalent (see e.g., [30, Theorem 1.3.12]).

The next result, which directly follows from the Švarc-Milnor lemma (see e.g., [27, Lemma 2.8], [30, Proposition 1.3.13]), establishes a quasi-isometry between the word metric $d_S(\cdot,\cdot)$ of the deck group G and the distance metric $d_X(\cdot,\cdot)$ of the Riemannian covering X of a closed connected Riemannian manifold M.

Proposition 2.2. *For any $x \in X$, the map*
$$(G, d_S) \to (X, d_X)$$
$$g \mapsto g \cdot x$$
given by the action of the deck transformation group G on X is a quasi-isometry.

Since G is a finitely generated abelian group, its torsion free subgroup is a free abelian subgroup $\mathbb{Z}^d$ of finite index. Hence, we obtain a normal $\mathbb{Z}^d$-covering
$$X \to M' (= X/\mathbb{Z}^d),$$
and a normal covering of M with a finite number of sheets
$$M' \to M.$$
Then M' is still a compact Riemannian manifold. By switching to the normal subcovering $X \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}^d} M'$, we **assume from now on that the deck group G is $\mathbb{Z}^d$** and substitute M' for M. This will not reduce generality of our results [2].

Notation 2.3.

(a) Hereafter, we choose the symmetric set $\{-1, 1\}^d$ to be the generating set S of $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Then the function $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_d) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^d |z_j|$ is the word length function $|\cdot|_S$ on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ associated with S.

(b) For a general Riemannian manifold Y, we denote by μ_Y the Riemannian measure of Y. We use the notation $L^2(Y)$ for the Lebesgue function space $L^2(Y, \mu_Y)$. Also, the notation $L^2_{comp}(Y)$ stands for the subspace of $L^2(Y)$ consisting of compactly supported functions. It is worth mentioning that in our case, the Riemannian measure μ_X is the lifting of the Riemannian measure μ_M to X. Thus, μ_X is a G-invariant Riemannian measure on X.

(c) We recall that a fundamental domain $F(M)$ for M in X (with respect to the action of G) is an open subset of X such that for any $g \neq e$, $F(M) \cap g \cdot F(M) = \emptyset$ and the subset
$$X \setminus \bigcup_{g \in G} g \cdot F(M)$$

[2]The same reduction holds for any **finitely generated virtually abelian** deck group G.

has measure zero. One can refer to [6] for constructions of such fundamental domains. Henceforth, we use the notation $F(M)$ to stand for a fixed fundamental domain for M in X.

Remark 2.4. The closure of $F(M)$ contains at least one point in X from every orbit of G, i.e.,

$$X = \bigcup_{g\in G} g \cdot \overline{F(M)}. \tag{1}$$

Thus, if $F : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is the lifting of an integrable function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ to X, then

$$\int_M f(x)d\mu_M(x) = \int_{\overline{F(M)}} F(x)d\mu_X(x). \tag{2}$$

2.2. **Additive and multiplicative functions on abelian coverings.**

To formulate our main results in Section 3, we need to introduce an analog of exponential type functions on the noncompact covering X.

We begin with a notion of additive and multiplicative functions on X (see [26]).

Definition 2.5.

- A real smooth function u on X is said to be **additive** if there is a homomorphism $\alpha : G \to \mathbb{R}$ such that
$$u(g \cdot x) = u(x) + \alpha(g), \quad \text{for all} \quad (g, x) \in G \times X.$$
- A real smooth function v on X is said to be **multiplicative** if there is a homomorphism β from G to the multiplicative group $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that
$$v(g \cdot x) = \beta(g)v(x), \quad \text{for all} \quad (g, x) \in G \times X.$$
- Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. A function h (resp. H) that maps X to $\mathbb{R}^m$ is called a vector-valued additive (resp. multiplicative) function on X if every component of h (resp. H) is also additive (resp. multiplicative) on X.

Following [24, 26], we can define explicitly some additive and multiplicative functions for which the group homomorphisms α, β appearing in Definition 2.5 are trivial.

Definition 2.6. Let f be a nonnegative function in $C_c^\infty(X)$ such that f is strictly positive on $\overline{F(M)}$. For any $j = 1, \ldots, d$, we define the following function

$$H_j(x) = \sum_{g\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \exp(-g_j)f(g \cdot x).$$

We also put $H(x) := (H_1(x), \ldots, H_d(x))$.

Then H_j is a positive function satisfying the multiplicative property $H_j(g \cdot x) = \exp(g_j)H_j(x)$, for any $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. The multiplicative function H plays a similar role to the one played by the exponential function e^x on the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$.

By taking logarithms, we obtain an additive function on X, which leads to the next definition.

Definition 2.7. We introduce the following smooth $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued function on X:

$$h(x) := (\log H_1(x), \cdots, \log H_n(x)).$$

Then $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_d)$ with $h_j(x) = \log H_j(x)$. Thus, h satisfies the following additivity:

$$h(g \cdot x) = h(x) + g, \quad \text{for all} \quad (g, x) \in G \times X. \tag{3}$$

Here we use the natural embedding $G = \mathbb{Z}^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

Clearly, the definitions of functions H and h depend on the choice of the function f and the fundamental domain $F(M)$. So, there is no canonical choice for constructing additive and multiplicative functions. Nevertheless, a more invariant approach to defining additive and multiplicative functions on Riemannian co-compact coverings can be found in [3, 17, 24].

The following important comparison between the Riemannian metric and the distance from the additive function h in Definition 2.7 will be needed later.

Proposition 2.8. *There are some positive constants R_h (depending on h) and $C > 1$ such that whenever $d_X(x, y) \geq R_h$, we have*

$$C^{-1} \cdot d_X(x, y) \leq |h(x) - h(y)| \leq C \cdot d_X(x, y).$$

Here $|\cdot|$ is the Euclidean distance on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and the constant C is independent of the choice of h.

As a consequence, the pseudo-distance $d_h(x, y) := |h(x) - h(y)| \to \infty$ if and only if $d_X(x, y) \to \infty$.

The proof of this statement is given in Section 7.

Definition 2.9. For any additive function h satisfying (3), $\mathcal{A}_h$ is the set consisting of unit vectors $s \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ such that there exist two points x and y satisfying $d_X(x, y) > R_h$ and

$$s = (h(x) - h(y))/|h(x) - h(y)|.$$

The set $\mathcal{A}_h$ is called the **admissible set of the additive function** h, and its elements are **admissible directions** of h.

For the proof of the following proposition, one can see in Section 7.

Proposition 2.10. *For any additive function h on X, one has*

$$\mathbb{Q}^d \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1} = \{g/|g| \mid g \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}\} \subset \mathcal{A}_h. \tag{4}$$

Hence, the admissible set $\mathcal{A}_h$ of h is dense in the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. In particular, when $d = 2$, $\mathcal{A}_h$ is the whole unit circle $\mathbb{S}^1$.

Remark 2.11. When the dimension n of X is less than $(d-1)/2$ (e.g., if $d > 5$ and X is the standard two dimensional jungle gym JG^2 in $\mathbb{R}^d$, see [31]), the $(d-1)$-dimensional Lebesgue measure of the admissible set $\mathcal{A}_h$ of any additive function h

on X is zero. To see this, we first denote by X_h the $2n$-dimensional smooth manifold $\{(x,y) \in X \times X \mid d_X(x,y) > R_h\}$, and then consider the smooth mapping:

$$\Psi : X_h \to \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$$
$$(x,y) \mapsto \frac{h(x)-h(y)}{|h(x)-h(y)|}.$$

Then $\mathcal{A}_h$ is the range of Ψ. Since $\dim X_h < \dim \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, every point in the range of Ψ is critical and thus, $\mathcal{A}_h$ has measure zero by Sard's theorem.

Example 2.12.

- Here is a family of non-trivial examples of additive functions in the flat case, i.e., when the covering space X is $\mathbb{R}^d$ and the base is the d-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^d$. Let $d \geq 1$ and φ be a real smooth function in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that φ is $\mathbb{Z}^d$-periodic. It is shown in [4] that there exists a unique map $F_\varphi = ((F_\varphi)_1, \dots, (F_\varphi)_d) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying $F_\varphi(0) = 0$, the additive condition (3), i.e., $F_\varphi(x+n) = F_\varphi(x) + n$ for any $(x,n) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d$, and the equation
$$\Delta(F_\varphi)_i = \nabla\varphi \cdot \nabla(F_\varphi)_i,$$
for any $1 \leq i \leq d$. Note that F_φ is just the identity mapping in the trivial case when $\varphi = 0$. Moreover, it is also known [4] that when $d = 2$, F_φ is a diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^d$ onto itself. In particular, for any $\mathbb{Z}^2$-periodic function φ, $|F_\varphi(x) - F_\varphi(y)| \geq C_\varphi |x-y|$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ for some $C_\varphi > 0$. However, when $d \geq 3$, F_φ may admit a critical point for some $\mathbb{Z}^d$-periodic function φ.
- Let $X \xrightarrow{p} M$, $Y \xrightarrow{q} N$ be normal $\mathbb{Z}^{d_1}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{d_2}$ coverings of compact Riemannian manifolds M and N respectively. Then $X \times Y \xrightarrow{p\times q} M \times N$ is also a normal $\mathbb{Z}^{d_1+d_2}$ covering of $M \times N$. Consider any $\mathbb{R}^{d_1}$-valued function h_1 (resp. $\mathbb{R}^{d_2}$-valued function h_2) defined on X (resp. Y). Let us denote by $h_1 \oplus h_2$ the following $\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2}$-valued function on $X \times Y$:
$$(h_1 \oplus h_2)(x,y) = (h_1(x), h_2(y)), \quad (x,y) \in X \times Y.$$
Then it is clear that $h_1 \oplus h_2$ is additive (resp. multiplicative) on $X \times Y$ if and only if both functions h_1 and h_2 are additive (resp. multiplicative). Moreover, $\mathcal{A}_{h_1 \oplus h_2} \subseteq \{(a_1 \cdot \mathcal{A}_{h_1}, a_2 \cdot \mathcal{A}_{h_2}) \mid 0 < a_1, a_2 < 1 \text{ and } a_1^2 + a_2^2 = 1\}$.

2.3. **Some notions and assumptions.**

Let L be a **bounded from below** and **symmetric second-order elliptic**[3] operator on X with **smooth**[4] coefficients such that the operator **commutes with the action of** G. An operator that commutes with the action of G is called a **G-periodic** (or sometimes **periodic**) operator for brevity.

Notice that on a Riemannian co-compact covering, any G-periodic elliptic operator with smooth coefficients is **uniformly elliptic** in the sense that

$$|L_0^{-1}(x,\xi)| \leq C|\xi|^{-2}, \quad (x,\xi) \in T^*X, \xi \neq 0.$$

[3]The ellipticity is understood in the sense of the nonvanishing of the principal symbol of the operator L on the cotangent bundle of the underlying manifold (with the zero section removed).

[4]The smoothness condition is assumed for avoiding lengthy technicalities and it can be relaxed.

Here $|\xi|$ is the Riemannian length of (x,ξ) and $L_0(x,\xi)$ is the principal symbol of L.

The periodic operator L can be pushed down to an elliptic operator L_M on M and thus, L is the lifting of an elliptic operator L_M to X. By a slight abuse of notation, we will use the same notation L for both elliptic operators acting on X and M.

Under these assumptions on L, the symmetric operator L with the domain $C_c^\infty(X)$ is **essentially self-adjoint** in $L^2(X)$, i.e., the minimal operator L_{min} coincides with the maximal operator L_{max} (see e.g., [37] for notation L_{min} and L_{max}). This fact can be found in [6, Proposition 3.1], for instance [5]. Hence, there exists a unique self-adjoint extension in the Hilbert space $L^2(X)$ of L, which we denote also by L. Since L is a uniformly elliptic operator on the manifold X of bounded geometry, its domain is the Sobolev space $H^2(X)$ [37, Proposition 4.1], and henceforward, we always work with this self-adjoint operator L.

Notation 2.13.

(a) The **dual** (or **reciprocal**) **lattice** is $2\pi\mathbb{Z}^d$ and its fundamental domain is the cube $[-\pi,\pi]^d$ (**Brillouin zone**).
(b) For any $m\in\mathbb{N}$, the m-dimensional torus $\mathbb{R}^m/\mathbb{Z}^m$, is denoted by $\mathbb{T}^m$.

From now on, we fix any smooth function h satisfying (3) in Definition 2.7. The following lemma is a preparation for the next definition.

Lemma 2.14. *For any $k\in\mathbb{C}^d$, we have*

$$e^{-ik\cdot h(x)}L(x,D)e^{ik\cdot h(x)} = L(x,D)+B(k),$$

where $B(k)$ is a smooth differential operator of order 1 on X that commutes with the action of the deck group G. Thus by pushing down, the differential operators $e^{-ik\cdot h(x)}L(x,D)e^{ik\cdot h(x)}$ and $B(k)$ can be considered also as differential operators on M. Moreover, given any $m\in\mathbb{R}$, the mapping

$$k\mapsto e^{-ik\cdot h(x)}L(x,D)e^{ik\cdot h(x)}$$

is analytic in k as a $B(H^{m+2}(M),H^m(M))$-valued function.

Proof. It is standard that the commutator $[L,e^{ik\cdot h(x)}]$ is a differential operator of order 1 on X. Now one can write

$$B(k)=e^{-ik\cdot h(x)}Le^{ik\cdot h(x)}-L=e^{-ik\cdot h(x)}[L,e^{ik\cdot h(x)}]$$

to see that $B(k)$ is also a smooth differential operator of order 1. Also, one can check that $B(k)$ commutes with the action of G by using G-periodicity of the operator L and additivity of h. This proves the first claim of the lemma. From a standard fact (see e.g., [14, Theorem 2.2]), the operator $e^{-ik\cdot h(x)}Le^{ik\cdot h(x)}$ defined on X can be written as a sum $\sum_{|\alpha|\le 2}k^\alpha L_\alpha$, where L_α is a G-periodic differential operator on X of order $2-|\alpha|$ which is independent of k. By pushing the above sum down to a sum of operators on M, the claim about analyticity in k is then obvious. □

[5] In [6], Atiyah proved for symmetric elliptic operators acting on Hermitian vector bundles over any general co-compact covering manifold (not necessary to be a Riemannian covering). Later, in [8], Brunning and Sunada extended Atiyah's arguments to the case including compact quotient space X/G with singularities.

Definition 2.15. For any $k \in \mathbb{C}^d$, we denote by $L(k)$ the elliptic operator

$$e^{-ik\cdot h(x)} L(x, D) e^{ik\cdot h(x)}$$

in $L^2(M)$ with the domain the Sobolev space $H^2(M)$.

In this definition, the vector k is called the **quasimomentum** [6].

Remark 2.16.

(a) When dealing with real quasimomentum k, it is enough to consider k in any shifted copy of the Brillouin zone $[-\pi, \pi]^d$, since the operators $L(k)$ and $L(k+2\pi\gamma)$ are unitarily equivalent, for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

(b) The operator $L(k)$ is self-adjoint in $L^2(M)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$, with the domain $H^2(M)$. Due to ellipticity of L, each of the operators $L(k)$ ($k \in \mathbb{R}^d$) has discrete real spectrum and thus, we can list its eigenvalues in non-decreasing order:

$$\lambda_1(k) \leq \lambda_2(k) \leq \ldots \quad .$$

Hence, we can single out continuous and piecewise-analytic **band functions** $\lambda_j(k)$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ [40].

(c) By Lemma 2.14, the operators $L(k)$ are perturbations of the self-adjoint operator $L(0)$ by lower order operators $B(k)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{C}^d$. Consequently, the spectra of the operators $L(k)$ on M are all discrete (see [1, pp.180-190]).

(d) We now describe another equivalent model of the operators $L(k)$, which sometimes can be useful (see [24]). For any quasimomentum $k \in \mathbb{C}^d$, we denote by γ_k the character (i.e., a 1-dimensional representation) $e^{ik\cdot g}$ of the abelian group G and consider the 1-dimensional flat vector bundle E_k over M associated with this representation. Now let $L^2_k(X) \subset L^2_{loc}(X)$ be the space of L^2-sections of E_k. Since L is G-periodic, $L^2_k(X)$ is an invariant subspace of the operator L for any k. Then the restriction of L to the subspace $L^2_k(X)$ is an elliptic operator over the space of sections $\mathcal{E}(M, E_k)$, and moreover, this elliptic operator is unitarily equivalent to the operator $L(k)$ in Definition 2.15. Notice that in the case of abelian coverings, a third equivalent model using differential forms and the Jacobian torus $J(M)$ to define can be found in [38].

Now we can restate the band-gap structure of $\sigma(L)$ presented in Theorem 1.1 in more details.

Theorem 2.17. [8, 11, 21, 23, 34, 38, 39] *The spectrum of L is the union of all the spectra of $L(k)$ when k runs over the Brillouin zone (or any its shifted copy), i.e.*

$$\sigma(L) = \bigcup_{k \in [-\pi,\pi]^d} \sigma(L(k)). \tag{5}$$

In other words, the spectrum of L is the range of the multivalued function

$$k \to \lambda(k) := \sigma(L(k)), \quad k \in [-\pi, \pi]^d,$$

As a result, the range of the band function λ_j (see remark 2.16) constitutes exactly the band $[\alpha_j, \beta_j]$ of the spectrum of L shown in (1.1).

[6] The name comes from solid state physics [5].

The notions which we will introduce now are important concepts in solid state physics (see e.g., [5]) as well as in general theory of periodic elliptic operators (see e.g., [23]).

Definition 2.18.

- A **Bloch solution with quasimomentum** k of the equation $L(x,D)u=0$ is a solution of the form
$$u(x)=e^{ik\cdot h(x)}\phi(x),$$
where h is any fixed additive function on X and the function ϕ is invariant under the action of the deck transformation group G.[7]
- The **Bloch variety** B_L of the operator L consists of all pairs $(k,\lambda)\in\mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ such that the equation $Lu=\lambda u$ on X has a non-zero Bloch solution u with quasimomentum k. The Bloch variety B_L can be seen as the graph of the multivalued function $\lambda(k)$, which is also called the **dispersion relation**:
$$B_L=\{(k,\lambda):\lambda\in\sigma(L(k))\}.$$
- The **Fermi surface** $F_{L,\lambda}$ of the operator L at the energy level $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ consists of all quasimomenta $k\in\mathbb{C}^d$ such that the equation $Lu=\lambda u$ on X has a non-zero Bloch solution u with quasimomentum k. We shall write F_L instead of $F_{L,0}$ when $\lambda=0$. Equivalently, Fermi surfaces are level sets of the dispersion relation.

The next statement can be found in [23, Theorem 3.1.7] (see also [24, Lemma 8]).

Lemma 2.19. *There exist entire ($2\pi\mathbb{Z}^d$-periodic in k) functions of finite orders on $\mathbb{C}^d$ and on $\mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ such that the Fermi and Bloch varieties are the sets of all zeros of these functions respectively. As a consequence, the band functions $\lambda_j(k)$ are piecewise analytic on $\mathbb{C}^d$.*

Note that the piecewise analyticity of the band functions is shown initially in [40] for Schrödinger operators in the flat case.

Without loss of generality, it is enough to assume henceforth that 0 is the spectral edge of interest (by adding a constant into the operator L if neccessary) and there is a spectral gap below this spectral edge 0. Therefore, 0 is the lower spectral edge of some spectral band [8], i.e., 0 is the minimal value of some band function $\lambda_j(k)$ for some $j\in\mathbb{N}$ over the Brillouin zone.

As in [18, 25], the following analytic assumptions are imposed on the band function λ_j:

Assumption A

There exists $k_0\in[-\pi,\pi]^d$ and a band function $\lambda_j(k)$ such that:

[7] It is easy to see that this definition is independent of the choice of h.

[8] The upper spectral edge case is treated similarly.

A1 $\lambda_j(k_0) = 0$.

A2 $\min_{k\in\mathbb{R}^d, i\neq j} |\lambda_i(k)| > 0$.

A3 *k_0 is the only (modulo $2\pi\mathbb{Z}^d$) minimum of λ_j.*

A4 *The Hessian matrix $H := \mathrm{Hess}\,(\lambda_j)(k_0)$ of λ_j at k_0 is positive-definite.*

A5 *All components of the quasimomentum k_0 are equal to either 0 or π.*

Remark 2.20.

(a) For the flat case, the main theorem in [20] shows that the conditions **A1** and **A2** are 'generically' satisfied, i.e., they can be achieved by small perturbation of the potential of a periodic Schrödinger operator. The same proof in [20] still works for periodic Schrödinger operators on a general abelian covering.

(b) In mathematics and physics literature, the conditions **A3** and **A4** are commonly believed to be 'generically' true (see e.g., [24, Conjecture 5.1]). In particular, **A4** is often assumed to define **effective masses** of Bloch electrons [5]. Additionally, we remark that the condition **A3** can be relaxed (see Section 9).

(c) It is known [15] that spectral edges could occur deeply inside the Brillouin zone, however, the condition **A5** holds in many practical cases. We shall only use this condition for the *spectral gap interior* case.

(d) Due to results of [19] (in the flat case) and of [21] (in the general case), all these assumptions **A1-A5** hold at the bottom of the spectrum for non-magnetic Schrödinger operators.

Here are some notations that will be used thoughout this paper.

Notation 2.21.

(a) The real parts of a complex vector z and of a complex matrix A are denoted by $\Re(z)$ and $\Re(A)$, respectively.

(b) For any two functions f and g defined on $X \times X$, if there exist constants $C > 0$ and $R > 0$ such that $|f(x, y)| \leq C|g(x, y)|$ whenever $d_X(x, y) > R$, we write $f(x, y) = O(g(x, y))$.

We say that a set W in $\mathbb{C}^d$ is **symmetric** if for any $z \in W$, we have $\overline{z} \in W$.

The following proposition will play a crucial role in establishing Theorem 3.1.

Proposition 2.22. *There exists an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and a symmetric open subset $V \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ containing the quasimomentum k_0 from the Assumption A such that the band function λ_j in Assumption A has an analytic continuation into a neighborhood of $\overline{V}$, and the following properties hold for any z in a symmetric neighborhood of $\overline{V}$:*

(P1) *$\lambda_j(z)$ is a simple eigenvalue of $L(z)$.*

(P2) *$|\lambda_j(z)| < \epsilon_0$ and $\overline{B}(0, \epsilon_0) \cap \sigma(L(z)) = \{\lambda_j(z)\}$.*

(P3) *There is a nonzero G-periodic function ϕ_z defined on X such that*

$$L(z)\phi_z = \lambda_j(z)\phi_z.$$

Moreover, $z \mapsto \phi_z$ can be chosen analytic as a $H^2(M)$-valued function.

(P4) $2\Re(\mathrm{Hess}\,(\lambda_j)(z)) > \min\sigma(\mathrm{Hess}\,(\lambda_j)(k_0)) \cdot I_{d\times d}$.

(P5) $F(z) := (\phi_z(\cdot), \phi_{\overline{z}}(\cdot))_{L^2(M)} \neq 0$.

Proof. Due to Remark 2.16, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$, the operator $L(z)$ has discrete spectrum and thus, it is a closed operator with nonempty resolvent set. Moreover, the operator domain $H^2(M)$ of $L(z)$ is independent of z. Also, by Lemma 2.14, for any $\phi \in H^2(M)$, $L(z)\phi$ is a $L^2(M)$-valued analytic function of z. These imply that $\{L(z)\}_{z\in\mathbb{C}^d}$ is an analytic family of type $\mathcal{A}$ (see e.g., [16, 34]). Now **(P1)-(P4)** would follow easily from the analytic perturbation theory [34], the conditions **A1**, **A2** and **A4**. The last property is due to **(P3)** and the inequality $F(k_0) = \|\phi_{k_0}\|^2_{L^2(M)} > 0$. □

Define $\mathcal{V} := \{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid k_0 + i\beta \in \overline{V}\}$. Now we introduce the function $E(\beta) := \lambda_j(k_0 + i\beta)$, which is defined on $\mathcal{V}$.

The next lemma (see [18]) is the only place in this paper where the condition **A5** is used.

Lemma 2.23. *Assume that the operator L is **real*** [9] *and the condition **A5** is satisfied. Then E is a **real-valued** function. By reducing the neighborhood V in Proposition 2.22 if necessary, the function E can be assumed real analytic and strictly concave function from $\mathcal{V}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ such that its Hessian at any point β in $\mathcal{V}$ is negative-definite.*

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we put

$$K_\lambda := \{\beta \in \mathcal{V} : E(\beta) \geq \lambda\}$$

and

$$\Gamma_\lambda := \{\beta \in \mathcal{V} : E(\beta) = \lambda\}$$

Due to Lemma 2.23, K_λ is a strictly convex d-dimensional compact set in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and its boundary Γ_λ is a compact hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^d$ whose Gauss-Kronecker curvature is nowhere zero. Therefore, there exists a diffeomorphism β from $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ onto Γ_λ such that

$$\nabla E(\beta_s) = -|\nabla E(\beta_s)|s.$$

In addition,

$$\lim_{|\lambda|\to 0} \max_{s\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\beta_s| = 0.$$

By letting $|\lambda|$ be sufficiently small, we will suppose that there is an $r_0 > 0$ (independent of s) such that

$$\{k + it\beta_s \mid (t,s) \in [0,1]\times\mathbb{S}^{d-1},\ |k-k_0| \leq r_0\} \subset V. \tag{6}$$

3. The main results

We recall that h is a fixed additive function satisfying (3) in Definition 2.7.

First, we consider the case when λ is inside a gap and is near to one of the edges of the gap. The following result is an analog for abelian coverings of compact Riemannian manifolds of [18, Theorem 2.11].

[9] Namely, Lu is real whenever u is real.

Theorem 3.1. *(Spectral gap interior)*

*Suppose that $d \geq 2$, L is real, and the conditions **A1-A5** are satisfied. For $\lambda < 0$ sufficiently close to 0 (depending on the dispersion branch λ_j and the operator L), the Green's function G_λ of L at λ admits the following asymptotics as $d_X(x,y) \to \infty$:*

$$\begin{aligned} G_\lambda(x,y) = & \frac{e^{(h(x)-h(y))(ik_0-\beta_s)}}{(2\pi|h(x)-h(y)|)^{(d-1)/2}} \cdot \frac{|\nabla E(\beta_s)|^{(d-3)/2}}{\det\left(-\mathcal{P}_s \operatorname{Hess}(E)(\beta_s)\mathcal{P}_s\right)^{1/2}} \\ & \times \frac{\phi_{k_0+i\beta_s}(x)\overline{\phi_{k_0-i\beta_s}(y)}}{(\phi_{k_0+i\beta_s}, \phi_{k_0-i\beta_s})_{L^2(M)}} + e^{(h(y)-h(x))\cdot\beta_s} r(x,y). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Here

$$s = (h(x)-h(y))/|h(x)-h(y)| \in \mathcal{A}_h,$$

and $\mathcal{P}_s$ is the projection from $\mathbb{R}^d$ onto the tangent space of the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ at the point s. Also, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a constant $C_\varepsilon > 0$ (independent of s and of the choice of h) such that the remainder term r satisfies

$$|r(x,y)| \leq C_\varepsilon d_X(x,y)^{-d/2+\varepsilon},$$

when $d_X(x,y)$ is large enough.

By using rational admissible directions (see (4)) in the formula (7), the large scale behaviors of the Green's function along orbits of the G-action admit the following nice form in which the additive function h is absent.

Corollary 3.2. *Under the same notations and hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 and suppose that $\lambda < 0$ is close enough to 0, as $|g| \to \infty$ ($g \in \mathbb{Z}^d$), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} G_\lambda(x, g\cdot x) = & \frac{e^{g\cdot(ik_0-\beta_{g/|g|})}}{(2\pi|g|)^{(d-1)/2}} \cdot \frac{|\nabla E(\beta_{g/|g|})|^{(d-3)/2}}{\det\left(-\mathcal{P}_{g/|g|} \operatorname{Hess}(E)(\beta_{g/|g|})\mathcal{P}_{g/|g|}\right)^{1/2}} \\ & \times \frac{\phi_{k_0+i\beta_{g/|g|}}(x)\overline{\phi_{k_0-i\beta_{g/|g|}}(g\cdot x)}}{(\phi_{k_0+i\beta_{g/|g|}}, \phi_{k_0-i\beta_{g/|g|}})_{L^2(M)}} + e^{g\cdot\beta_s} O(|g|^{-d/2+\varepsilon}), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

We also give another interpretation of [18, Theorem 2.11] in the special case $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ as follows:

Corollary 3.3. *Let φ be any real, $\mathbb{Z}^2$-periodic and smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^2$, and we recall the notation F_φ from Example 2.12. Let s be any unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then as $|t| \to \infty$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$), the Green's function G_λ of L at λ (≈ 0) has the following asymptotics*

$$\begin{aligned} G_\lambda(F_\varphi^{-1}(ts+F_\varphi(y)), y) = & \frac{e^{ts\cdot(ik_0-\beta_s)}}{(2\pi|\nabla E(\beta_s)| \cdot \det\left(-\mathcal{P}_s \operatorname{Hess}(E)(\beta_s)\mathcal{P}_s\right)\cdot|t|)^{1/2}} \\ & \times \frac{\phi_{k_0+i\beta_s}(F_\varphi^{-1}(ts+F_\varphi(y)))\overline{\phi_{k_0-i\beta_s}(y)}}{(\phi_{k_0+i\beta_s}, \phi_{k_0-i\beta_s})_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}} + e^{ts\cdot\beta_s} O(|t|^{-1+\varepsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

We now switch to the case when λ is on the boundary of the spectrum. Recall that we assume the spectral edge λ is zero. The following result is a generalization of [25, Theorem 2].

Theorem 3.4. *(Spectral edge case)*

*Assume that $d \geq 3$ and the operator L satisfies the assumptions **A1-A4**. For a small $\varepsilon > 0$, we denote by $R_{-\varepsilon} = (L + \varepsilon)^{-1}$ the resolvent of L near the spectral edge $\lambda = 0$ (which exists, due to Assumption A). Then:*

i) For any $\phi, \varphi \in L^2_{comp}(X)$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have:

$$\langle R_{-\varepsilon}\phi, \varphi\rangle \to \langle R\phi, \varphi\rangle.$$

for an operator $R : L^2_{comp}(X) \to L^2_{loc}(X)$.

*ii) The Schwartz kernel $G(x, y)$ of the operator R, which we call **the Green's function of** L (at the spectral edge 0), has the following asymptotics when $d_X(x, y) \to \infty$:*

$$\begin{aligned} G(x,y) = &\frac{\Gamma(\frac{d-2}{2}) e^{i(h(x)-h(y))\cdot k_0}}{2\pi^{d/2}\sqrt{\det H}|H^{-1/2}(h(x)-h(y))|^{d-2}} \cdot \frac{\phi_{k_0}(x)\overline{\phi_{k_0}(y)}}{\|\phi_{k_0}\|^2_{L^2(M)}} \\ &\times \left(1 + O\left(d_X(x,y)^{-1}\right)\right) + O\left(d_X(x,y)^{1-d}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where H is the Hessian matrix of λ_j at k_0.

Remark 3.5.

(a) An interesting feature in the main results is that the dimension n of the covering manifold X does not explicitly enter into the asymptotics (7) and (9) (especially, see also (8)). Anyway, it certainly influences the geometry of the dispersion curves and therefore the asymptotics too. However, as the Riemannian distance between x and y becomes larger, one can see that in the asymptotics, the role of the dimension n is rather limited, while the influence of the rank d of the torsion-free subgroup of the deck group G is stronger.

(b) Note that for a periodic elliptic operator of second order on $\mathbb{R}^d$, at the bottom of its spectrum, the operator is known to be critical when the dimension $d \leq 2$ (see [26, 28, 32]). So, the assumption $d \geq 3$ is needed in Theorem 3.4.

(c) The asymptotics (7) and (9) can be described in terms of the Albanese map and the Albanese pseudo-distance on the abelian covering X (see these definitions in [22, Section 2]), provided that the additive function h is chosen to be harmonic (see also [17]).

Proving Theorem 3.4 by generalizing [25, Theorem 2] is similar to establishing Theorem 3.1 by generalizing [18, Theorem 2.11]. Thus, after finishing the proof of Theorem 3.1, we will sketch briefly the proof of Theorem 3.4 in Section 6.

We outline the general strategy of both the proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.4. As in [18, 25], the idea is to show that only one branch of the dispersion relation λ_j appearing in the Assumption A will control the asymptotics.

- **Step 1:** We use the Floquet transform to reduce the problems of finding asymptotics of Green's functions to the problems of obtaining asymptotics of some integral expressions with respect to the quasimomentum k.
- **Step 2:** We localize these expressions around the quasimomentum k_0 and then we cut an "infinite-dimensional" part of the operator to deal only with the multiplication operator by the dispersion branch λ_j.
- **Step 3:** The dispersion curve around this part is almost a paraboloid according to the assumption **A4**, thus, we can reduce this piece of operator to the normal form in the free case. In this step, we obtain some scalar integral expressions which are close to the ones arising when dealing with the Green's function of the Laplacian operator at the level λ. Our remaining task is devoted to computing the asymptotics of these scalar integrals.

4. A Floquet-Bloch reduction of the problem

In this section, we will consider the Green's function $G_\lambda(x, y)$ at the level λ in Case I (i.e., *Spectral gap interior*).

4.1. **The Floquet transforms on abelian coverings.**

Due to **A5**, $k_0 = (\delta_1\pi, \delta_2\pi, ..., \delta_d\pi)$, where $\delta_j \in \{0, 1\}$ for $j \in \{1, ..., d\}$. For localizing around k_0, we introduce the following fundamental domain (with respect to the dual lattice $2\pi\mathbb{Z}^d$)

$$\mathcal{O} = \prod_{j=1}^{d}[(\delta_j - 1)\pi, (\delta_j + 1)\pi].$$

Actually, $\mathcal{O}$ is just a shifted version of the Brillouin zone so that the quasimomentum k_0 is its center of symmetry.

The following transform will play the role of the Fourier transform for the periodic case. Indeed, it is a version of the Fourier transform on the group $\mathbb{Z}^d$ of periods.

Definition 4.1. The **Floquet transform** $\mathcal{F}$ (which depends on the choice of h)

$$f(x) \to \widehat{f}(k, x)$$

maps a compactly supported function f on X into a function $\widehat{f}$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^d \times X$ in the following way:

$$\widehat{f}(k, x) := \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^d} f(\gamma \cdot x)e^{-ih(\gamma\cdot x)\cdot k}.$$

From the above definition, one can see that $\widehat{f}$ is $\mathbb{Z}^d$-periodic in the x-variable and satisfies a cyclic condition with respect to k:

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{f}(k, \gamma \cdot x) = \widehat{f}(k, x), \quad \forall\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ \widehat{f}(k + 2\pi\gamma, x) = e^{-2\pi i\gamma\cdot h(x)}\widehat{f}(k, x), \quad \forall\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d \end{cases}.$$

Thus, it suffices to consider the Floquet transform $\widehat{f}$ as a function defined on $\mathcal{O} \times M$. Usually, we will regard $\widehat{f}$ as a function $\widehat{f}(k, \cdot)$ in k-variable in $\mathcal{O}$ with values in the function space $L^2(M)$.

The next lemma lists some well-known results of the Floquet transform. Although the lemma is stated for abelian coverings, its proof does not require any change from the proof for the flat case. We omit the details since these can be found in [23], for instance.

Lemma 4.2.

i) The transform $\mathcal{F}$ is an isometry of $L^2(X)$ onto

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}}^{\oplus} L^2(M) = L^2(\mathcal{O}, L^2(M))$$

and of $H^2(X)$ onto

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}}^{\oplus} H^2(M) = L^2(\mathcal{O}, H^2(M)).$$

ii) The following two equivalent inversion formulae $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ are given by

$$f(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{ik\cdot h(x)} \widehat{f}(k, x) dk, \quad x \in X. \tag{10}$$

and

$$f(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{ik\cdot h(x)} \widehat{f}(k, \gamma^{-1} \cdot x) dk, \quad x \in \gamma \cdot \overline{F(M)}. \tag{11}$$

iii) The action of any periodic elliptic operator P in $L^2(X)$ under the Floquet transform $\mathcal{F}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{F} P(x, D) \mathcal{F}^{-1} = \int_{\mathcal{O}}^{\oplus} P(k) dk,$$

where $P(k)(x, D) = e^{-ik\cdot h(x)} P(x, D) e^{ik\cdot h(x)}$. In other words,

$$\widehat{Pf}(k) = P(k)\widehat{f}(k), \quad \forall f \in H^2(X).$$

Remark 4.3. The direct integral decomposition of P in Lemma 4.2 (iii) has an important consequence that the spectrum of any periodic elliptic operator P on X is the union of the spectra of operators $P(k)$ on M over the fundamental domain $\mathcal{O}$.

4.2. **A Floquet reduction of the problem.**

We begin with the following proposition, which says roughly that if one starts moving k from some shifted copy of the Brillouin zone along the direction $i\beta_s$, then $k_0 + i\beta_s$ is the **first** quasimomentum k that **belongs to the Fermi surface** $F_{L,\lambda}$.

Proposition 4.4. *If $|\lambda|$ is small enough (depending on the dispersion branch λ_j and L), then for any $(t, s) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, we have $\lambda \in \sigma(L(k + it\beta_s))$ if and only if $(k, t) = (k_0, 1)$.*

This statement is proven in [18, Proposition 4.1] for the flat case. The case of an abelian covering does not require any change in the proof. The main ingredients in the proof are the upper-semicontinuity of the spectra of the analytic family $\{L(k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{C}^d}$ and the fact that E is a **real** function, whose Hessian is negative definite (Proposition 2.23).

We consider the following real, smooth linear elliptic operators on X:

$$L_{t,s} = e^{t\beta_s\cdot h(x)} L e^{-t\beta_s\cdot h(x)}, \quad (t,s)\in[0,1]\times\mathbb{S}^{d-1}.$$

Notice that these operators are G-periodic, and when pushing $L_{t,s}$ down to M, we get the operator $L(-i\beta_s)$. We also use the notation L_s for $L_{1,s}$.

Due to Remark 4.3, we can apply the identity (5) to the operator $L_{t,s}$ to obtain

$$\sigma(L_{t,s}) = \bigcup_{k\in\mathcal{O}} \sigma(L_{t,s}(k)) = \bigcup_{k\in\mathcal{O}} \sigma(L(k+it\beta_s)) \supseteq \{\lambda_j(k+it\beta_s)\}_{k\in\mathcal{O}}. \tag{12}$$

We now fix a real number λ such that the statement of Proposition 4.4 holds. By (12) and Proposition 4.4, λ is in the resolvent set of $L_{t,s}$ for any $(t,s)\in[0,1)\times\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Let $R_{t,s,\lambda}$ be the resolvent operator $(L_{t,s}-\lambda)^{-1}$. Using Lemma 4.2 (iii), for any $f\in L^2_{comp}(X)$, we have

$$\widehat{R_{t,s,\lambda}f}(k) = (L_{t,s}(k)-\lambda)^{-1}\widehat{f}(k), \quad (t,k)\in[0,1)\times\mathcal{O}.$$

Due to Lemma 4.2 (i), the sesquilinear form $(R_{t,s,\lambda}f,\varphi)$ is equal to

$$(2\pi)^{-d}\int_{\mathcal{O}} \Big((L_{t,s}(k)-\lambda)^{-1}\widehat{f}(k), \widehat{\varphi}(k)\Big)\, dk,$$

where $\varphi\in L^2_{comp}(X)$.

In the next lemma, the weak convergence as $t\nearrow 1$ of the operator $R_{t,s,\lambda}$ in $L^2_{comp}(X)$ is proved and thus, we can introduce the limit operator $R_{s,\lambda} := \lim\limits_{t\to 1^-} R_{t,s,\lambda}$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $d\geq 2$. Under Assumption A, for f,φ in $L^2_{comp}(X)$, the following equality holds:*

$$\lim_{t\to 1^-}(R_{t,s,\lambda}f,\varphi) = (2\pi)^{-d}\int_{\mathcal{O}} \Big(L_s(k)-\lambda)^{-1}\widehat{f}(k), \widehat{\varphi}(k)\Big)\, dk. \tag{13}$$

The integral in the right hand side of (13) is absolutely convergent.

This lemma is a direct corollary of Lemma 2.19, Proposition 4.4 and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem as being shown in [18]. We skip the proof.

For any $(t,s)\in[0,1)\times\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, let $G_{t,s,\lambda}$ be the Green's function of $L_{t,s}$ at λ, which is the kernel of $R_{t,s,\lambda}$. Thus,

$$G_{t,s,\lambda}(x,y) = e^{t\beta_s\cdot(h(x)-h(y))}G_\lambda(x,y).$$

Taking the limit and applying Lemma 4.5, we conclude that the function

$$G_{s,\lambda}(x,y) := e^{\beta_s\cdot(h(y)-h(x))}G_\lambda(x,y)$$

is the integral kernel of the operator $R_{s,\lambda}$ defined as follows:

$$\widehat{R_{s,\lambda}f}(k) = (L_s(k)-\lambda)^{-1}\widehat{f}(k). \tag{14}$$

Hence, the problem of finding asymptotics of G_λ is now equivalent to obtaining asymptotics of any function $G_{s,\lambda}$, where s is an admissible direction in $\mathcal{A}_h$.

In addition, by (10) and (14), the function $G_{s,\lambda}$, which is also the Green's function of the operator L_s at λ, is the integral kernel of the operator $R_{s,\lambda}$ that acts on $L^2_{comp}(X)$ in the following way:

$$R_{s,\lambda}f(x) = (2\pi)^{-d}\int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{ik\cdot h(x)}(L_s(k)-\lambda)^{-1}\widehat{f}(k,x)dk, \quad x\in X. \tag{15}$$

This accomplishes Step 1 in our strategy of the proof.

4.3. **Isolating the leading term in $R_{s,\lambda}$ and a reduced Green's function.**

The purpose of this part is to complete Step 2, i.e., to localize the part of the integral in (15), that is responsible for the leading term of the Green's function asymptotics.

Definition 4.6. For any $z\in V$, we denote by $P(z)$ the spectral projector $\chi_{B(0,\varepsilon_0)}(L(z))$, i.e.,

$$P(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{|\alpha|=\epsilon_0}(L(z)-\alpha)^{-1}d\alpha.$$

By **(P2)**, $P(z)$ projects $L^2(M)$ onto the eigenspace spanned by ϕ_z. We also put $Q(z) := I - P(z)$ and denote by $R(P(z))$, $R(Q(z))$ the ranges of the projectors $P(z)$, $Q(z)$ correspondingly.

Using **(P6)** and the fact that $P(k+i\beta_s)^* = P(k-i\beta_s)$, we can deduce that if $|k-k_0|\le r_0$ (see (6)), the following equality holds

$$P(k+i\beta_s)u = \frac{(u,\phi_{k-i\beta_s})_{L^2(M)}}{(\phi_{k+i\beta_s},\phi_{k-i\beta_s})_{L^2(M)}}\phi_{k+i\beta_s}, \quad \forall u\in L^2(M). \tag{16}$$

Let η be a cut-off smooth function on $\mathcal{O}$ supported on $\{k\in\mathcal{O}\mid |k-k_0|<r_0\}$ and equal to 1 around k_0.

According to (15), for any $f\in C_c^\infty(X)$, we want to find u such that

$$(L_s(k)-\lambda)\widehat{u}(k) = \widehat{f}(k).$$

Then the Green's function $G_{s,\lambda}$ satisfies

$$\int_X G_{s,\lambda}(x,y)f(y)d\mu_X(y) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\widehat{u}(k,x) = u(x),$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the Floquet transform introduced in Definition 4.2.

By Proposition 4.4, the operator $L_s(k)-\lambda$ is invertible for any k such that $k\neq k_0$. Hence, we can decompose $\widehat{u}(k) = \widehat{u_0}(k) + (L_s(k)-\lambda)^{-1}(1-\eta(k))\widehat{f}(k)$, where $\widehat{u_0}$ satisfies the equation

$$(L_s(k)-\lambda)\widehat{u_0}(k) = \eta(k)\widehat{f}(k).$$

Observe that $R(P(z))$ and $R(Q(z))$ are invariant subspaces for the operator $L(z)$ for any $z\in V$. Thus, if u_1, u_2 are functions such that $\widehat{u_1}(k) = P(k+i\beta_s)\widehat{u_0}(k)$ and $\widehat{u_2}(k) = Q(k+i\beta_s)\widehat{u_0}(k)$, we must have

$$(L_s(k)-\lambda)P(k+i\beta_s)\widehat{u_1}(k) = \eta(k)P(k+i\beta_s)\widehat{f}(k) \tag{17}$$

and

$$(L_s(k)-\lambda)Q(k+i\beta_s)\widehat{u_2}(k)=\eta(k)Q(k+i\beta_s)\widehat{f}(k).$$

Due to **(P2)**, when k is close to k_0, $\lambda=\lambda_j(k_0+i\beta_s)$ must belong to the resolvent of the operator $L_s(k)|_{R(Q(k+i\beta_s))}$. Hence, we can write $\widehat{u_2}(k)=\eta(k)(L_s(k)-\lambda)^{-1}Q(k+i\beta_s)\widehat{f}(k)$. Therefore, $\widehat{u}(k)$ equals

$$\widehat{u_1}(k)+\left((1-\eta(k))(L_s(k)-\lambda)^{-1}+\eta(k)((L_s(k)-\lambda)|_{R(Q(k+i\beta_s))})^{-1}Q(k+i\beta_s)\right)\widehat{f}(k).$$

The next theorem shows that for finding the asymptotics, we can ignore the infinite-dimensional part of the operator $R_{s,\lambda}$, i.e., the second term in the above sum of two operators.

Theorem 4.7. *Define*

$$T_s(k):=(1-\eta(k))(L_s(k)-\lambda)^{-1}+\eta(k)((L_s(k)-\lambda)|_{R(Q(k+i\beta_s))})^{-1}Q(k+i\beta_s).$$

Let T_s be the operator acting on $L^2(X)$ as follows:

$$T_s=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left((2\pi)^{-d}\int_{\mathcal{O}}^{\oplus}T_s(k)dk\right)\mathcal{F}.$$

Then the Schwartz kernel $K_s(x,y)$ of the operator T_s is continuous away from the diagonal of X, and moreover, it is also rapidly decaying in a uniform way with respect to $s\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, i.e., for any $N>0$,

$$\sup_{s\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}|K_s(x,y)|=O(d_X(x,y)^{-N}).$$

A proof using microlocal analysis will be mentioned in Section 7.

Now let $V_s:=R_{s,\lambda}-T_s$. Then the Schwartz kernel $G_0(x,y)$ of the operator V_s satisfies the following relation:

$$\int_X G_0(x,y)f(y)d\mu_X(y)=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\widehat{u_1}(k,x)=u_1(x). \tag{18}$$

In what follows, we will find an integral representation of the kernel G_0. We will see that G_0 provides the leading term of the asymptotics of the kernel $G_{s,\lambda}$. For this reason, G_0 is called **a reduced Green's function**.

To find u_1, we use the equation (17) and apply (16) to deduce

$$(\lambda_j(k+i\beta_s)-\lambda)(\widehat{u_1}(k),\phi_{k-i\beta_s})_{L^2(M)}=\eta(k)(\widehat{f}(k),\phi_{k-i\beta_s})_{L^2(M)}.$$

Using $\widehat{u_1}(k)=P(k+i\beta_s)\widehat{u_1}(k)$ and (16) again, the above identity becomes

$$\widehat{u_1}(k,x):=\frac{\eta(k)\phi_{k+i\beta_s}(x)(\widehat{f}(k),\phi_{k-i\beta_s})_{L^2(M)}}{(\phi_{k+i\beta_s},\phi_{k-i\beta_s})_{L^2(M)}(\lambda_j(k+i\beta_s)-\lambda)},\quad k\neq k_0.$$

By the inverse Floquet transform (10), for any $x\in X$,

$$u_1(x)=(2\pi)^{-d}\int_{\mathcal{O}}e^{ik\cdot h(x)}\frac{\eta(k)\phi_{k+i\beta_s}(x)(\widehat{f}(k),\phi_{k-i\beta_s})_{L^2(M)}}{(\phi_{k+i\beta_s},\phi_{k-i\beta_s})_{L^2(M)}(\lambda_j(k+i\beta_s)-\lambda)}dk.$$

Now we repeat some calculations in [18, 25] to have

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathcal{O}}\int_M \frac{e^{ik\cdot h(x)}\eta(k)\widehat{f}(k,y)\overline{\phi_{k-i\beta_s}(y)}\phi_{k+i\beta_s}(x)}{(\phi_{k+i\beta_s},\phi_{k-i\beta_s})_{L^2(M)}(\lambda_j(k+i\beta_s)-\lambda)}d\mu_M(y)dk\\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathcal{O}}\int_{\overline{F(M)}}\sum_{\gamma\in G}\frac{e^{ik\cdot(h(x)-h(\gamma^{-1}\cdot y))}\eta(k)\overline{\phi_{k-i\beta_s}(y)}\phi_{k+i\beta_s}(x)}{(\phi_{k+i\beta_s},\phi_{k-i\beta_s})_{L^2(M)}(\lambda_j(k+i\beta_s)-\lambda)}d\mu_X(y)dk\\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathcal{O}}\sum_{\gamma\in G}\int_{\gamma\cdot\overline{F(M)}} f(y)\frac{e^{ik\cdot(h(x)-h(y))}\eta(k)\overline{\phi_{k-i\beta_s}(\gamma^{-1}\cdot y)}\phi_{k+i\beta_s}(x)}{(\phi_{k+i\beta_s},\phi_{k-i\beta_s})_{L^2(M)}(\lambda_j(k+i\beta_s)-\lambda)}d\mu_X(y)dk\\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_X f(y)\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}\frac{e^{ik\cdot(h(x)-h(y))}\eta(k)\overline{\phi_{k-i\beta_s}(y)}\phi_{k+i\beta_s}(x)}{(\phi_{k+i\beta_s},\phi_{k-i\beta_s})_{L^2(M)}(\lambda_j(k+i\beta_s)-\lambda)}dk\right)d\mu_X(y).
\end{aligned}$$

In the second equality above, we use the identity (2).

Consequently, from (18), we conclude that our reduced Green's function is

$$G_0(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{ik\cdot(h(x)-h(y))}\eta(k)\frac{\phi_{k+i\beta_s}(x)\overline{\phi_{k-i\beta_s}(y)}}{(\phi_{k+i\beta_s},\phi_{k-i\beta_s})_{L^2(M)}(\lambda_j(k+i\beta_s)-\lambda)}dk. \tag{19}$$

5. Some auxiliary statements

In this part, we provide the analogs of some results from [18, 25], which do not require any significant change in the proofs when dealing with the case of abelian coverings. Instead of repeating the details, we will make some brief comments about the main ingredients of these results.

The first result studies the local smoothness in (z,x) of the eigenfunctions $\phi_z(x)$ of the operator $L(z)$ with the eigenvalue $\lambda_j(z)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that $B\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ is the open ball centered at k_0 with radius r_0 (see (6)). Then for each $s\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, the functions $\phi_{k\pm i\beta_s}(x)$ are smooth on a neighborhood of $\overline{B}\times M$ in $\mathbb{R}^d\times M$. In addition, for any multi-index α, the functions $D_k^\alpha\phi_{k\pm i\beta_s}(x)$ are also jointly continuous in (s,k,x). In particular, we have*

$$\sup_{(s,k,x)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\overline{B}\times M}|D_k^\alpha\phi_{k\pm i\beta_s}(x)|<\infty.$$

To obtain Lemma 5.1, one can modify the proof of [18, Proposition 9.6] without any significant change. Indeed, the three main ingredients in the proof are the smoothness in z of the family of operators $\{L(z)\}_{z\in V}$ acting between Sobolev spaces (Lemma 2.14), the property **(P3)** for bootstraping regularity of eigenfunctions in k, and the standard coercive estimates of elliptic operators $L(z)$ on the compact manifold M (see e.g., [33, estimate (11.29)]) for bootstraping regularity in x.

The next result is the asymptotics of the scalar integral expression obtained from the integral representation (19) of the reduced Green's function G_0.

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose that $d\geq 2$ and B is the open ball defined in Proposition 5.1. Let $\eta(k)$ be a smooth cut off function around the point k_0, and $\{\mu_s(k,x,y)\}_{s\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}$ be a family of smooth $\mathbb{C}^d$-valued functions defined on $\overline{B}\times M\times M$. We also use the*

same notation $\mu_s(k,x,y)$ for its lift to $\overline{B}\times X\times X$. For each quadruple $(s,a,x,y)\in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathbb{R}^d\times X\times X$, we define

$$I(s,a):=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{ik\cdot a}\frac{\eta(k)}{\lambda_j(k+i\beta_s)-\lambda}dk$$

and

$$J(s,a,x,y):=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{ik\cdot a}\frac{\eta(k)(k-k_0)\cdot\mu_s(k,x,y)}{\lambda_j(k+i\beta_s)-\lambda}dk.$$

Assume that the size of the support of η is small enough. Fix a direction $s\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and consider all vectors a such that $s=\dfrac{a}{|a|}$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, when $|a|$ is large enough, we have

$$I(s,a)=\frac{e^{ik_0\cdot a}|\nabla E(\beta_s)|^{(d-3)/2}}{(2\pi|a|)^{(d-1)/2}\det\left(-\mathcal{P}_s\operatorname{Hess}(E)(\beta_s)\mathcal{P}_s\right)^{1/2}}+O(|a|^{-d/2+\varepsilon}) \tag{20}$$

and

$$\sup_{(x,y)\in X\times X}|J(s,a,x,y)|=O(|a|^{-d/2}). \tag{21}$$

Moreover, if all derivatives of $\mu_s(k,x,y)$ with respect to k are uniformly bounded in $s\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, then all the terms $O(\cdot)$ in (20) and (21) are also uniform in $s\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ when $|a|\to\infty$.

The proof of Proposition 5.2 can be extracted from [18, Section 6]. The main ingredient (see [18, Proposition 6.1]) is an application of the Weierstrass Preparation Lemma in several complex variables to have a factorization of the denominator $\lambda_j(k+i\beta_s)-\lambda$ of the integrands of I,J into a form that is close to the normal form in the free case. This trick was used in [41] in the discrete setting.

The next result [25, Theorem 3.3] will be needed in the proof of Theorem 3.4.

Proposition 5.3. *Assume $d\geq 3$. Let $a\in\mathbb{R}^d$. Let η be a smooth function satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 5.2, and let $\mu(k,x,y)$ be a smooth G-periodic function from a neighborhood of $\overline{B}\times X\times X$ to $\mathbb{C}^d$. Then the following asymptotics hold when $|a|\to\infty$:*

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{ik\cdot a}\frac{\eta(k)}{\lambda_j(k)}dk=\frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}-1)e^{ik_0\cdot a}}{2\pi^{d/2}(\det H)^{1/2}|H^{-1/2}(a)|^{d-2}}(1+O(|a|^{-1}),$$

and

$$\sup_{x,y\in X}\left|\int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{ik\cdot a}\frac{\eta(k)(k-k_0)\cdot\mu(k,x,y)}{\lambda_j(k)}dk\right|=O(|a|^{-d+1}).$$

6. Proofs of the main results

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We fix an admissible direction s of the additive function h and consider any $x,y\in X$ such that

$$\frac{h(x)-h(y)}{|h(x)-h(y)|}=s\in\mathcal{A}_h.$$

As we discussed in Section 4, the Green's function G_λ satisfies

$$G_\lambda(x,y) = e^{\beta_s\cdot(h(y)-h(x))}G_{s,\lambda}(x,y), \tag{22}$$

where $G_{s,\lambda}$ is the Schwartz kernel of the resolvent operator R_s. Also, $R_{s,\lambda} = V_s + T_s$. Due to Theorem 4.7, the Schwartz kernel of T_s decays rapidly (uniformly in s) when $d_X(x,y)$ is large enough. Hence, to find the asymptotics of the kernel of $R_{s,\lambda}$, it suffices to consider the kernel G_0 of the operator V_s. Define

$$a := h(x) - h(y) \tag{23}$$

and

$$\tilde{\mu}_\omega(k,p,q) := \frac{\phi_{k+i\beta_\omega}(p)\overline{\phi_{k-i\beta_\omega}(q)}}{(\phi_{k+i\beta_\omega},\phi_{k-i\beta_\omega})_{L^2(M)}}, \quad (\omega,p,q)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times M\times M.$$

By Lemma 5.1, $\tilde{\mu}_\omega$ is a smooth function on $\overline{B}\times M\times M$. By Taylor expanding around k_0, $\tilde{\mu}_\omega(k,p,q) = \tilde{\mu}_\omega(k_0,p,q) + (k-k_0)\cdot\mu_\omega(k,p,q)$ for some smooth $\mathbb{C}^d$-valued function $\mu_\omega(k,p,q)$ defined on $\overline{B}\times M\times M$. From Lemma 5.1 and the definition of $\tilde{\mu_\omega}$,

$$\sup_{(\omega,k,x,y)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\overline{B}\times M\times M} |D_k^\alpha\tilde{\mu}_\omega(k,x,y)| < \infty,$$

for any multi-index α. Thus, all derivatives of μ_ω with respect to k are also uniformly bounded in $\omega\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. We now can rewrite (19) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} G_0(x,y) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{ik\cdot a}\frac{\eta(k)}{\lambda_j(k+i\beta_s)-\lambda}\left(\tilde{\mu}_s(k_0,x,y) + (k-k_0)\cdot\mu_s(k,x,y)\right)dk \\ &= I(s,a)\frac{\phi_{k_0+i\beta_s}(x)\overline{\phi_{k_0-i\beta_s}(y)}}{(\phi_{k_0+i\beta_s},\phi_{k_0-i\beta_s})_{L^2(M)}} + J(s,a,x,y). \end{aligned}$$

Here the integrals $I(s,a)$ and $J(s,a,x,y)$ are defined in Proposition 5.2. Applying Proposition 5.2, for any $\varepsilon>0$, we obtain the following asymptotics whenever $|a|$ is large enough:

$$\begin{aligned} G_0(x,y) = &\Big(\frac{e^{ik_0\cdot a}|\nabla E(\beta_s)|^{(d-3)/2}}{(2\pi|a|)^{(d-1)/2}\det\left(-\mathcal{P}_s\,\mathrm{Hess}\,(E)(\beta_s)\mathcal{P}_s\right)^{1/2}} + O(|a|^{-d/2+\varepsilon})\Big) \\ &\times\frac{\phi_{k_0+i\beta_s}(x)\overline{\phi_{k_0-i\beta_s}(y)}}{(\phi_{k_0+i\beta_s},\phi_{k_0-i\beta_s})_{L^2(M)}} + O(|a|^{-d/2}), \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where all the terms $O(\cdot)$ are uniform in s. Due to (23) and Proposition 2.8, $O(|a|^\ell) = O(d_X(x,y)^\ell)$ for any $\ell\in\mathbb{Z}$, provided that $d_X(x,y) > R_h$. Hence, by choosing the constant R_h larger if necessary, we can assume that when $d_X(x,y) > R_h$, the asymptotics (24) would follow. Finally, we substitute (23) to the asymptotics (24) and then use (22) to deduce Theorem 3.1. □

Proof of Theorem 3.4. We recall that $\lambda = \lambda_j(k_0) = 0$ and $R_{-\varepsilon}$ is the resolvent operator $(L+\varepsilon)^{-1}$ when $\varepsilon>0$ is small enough. We will repeat the Floquet reduction approach in Section 4. Given any $f,\varphi\in L^2_{comp}(X)$, the sesquilinear form $\langle R_{-\varepsilon}f,\varphi\rangle$ is

$$(2\pi)^{-d}\int_{\mathcal{O}}\Big((L(k)+\varepsilon)^{-1}\widehat{f}(k),\widehat{\varphi}(k)\Big)\,dk.$$

The first conclusion of this theorem is achieved by a smilar argument in [25, Lemma 2.3]. Hence the operator $R = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} R_{-\varepsilon}$ is defined by the identity $\widehat{Rf}(k) = R(k)\widehat{f}(k)$ and the Green's function G is the Schwartz kernel of the operator R. To single out the principal term in R, we first choose a neighborhood $V \subset \mathcal{O}$ of k_0 such that when $k \in V$, there is a non-zero G-periodic eigenfunction $\phi_k(x)$ of the operator $L(k)$ with the corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda_j(k)$ and moreover, the mapping $k \mapsto \phi_k(\cdot)$ is analytic in k as a $H^2(M)$-valued function. This is always possible due to Lemma 2.19. For such $k \in V$, let us denote by $P(k)$ the spectral projector of $L(k)$ that projects $L^2(M)$ onto the eigenspace spanned by ϕ_k. The notation $R(I - P(k))$ stands for the range of the projector $I - P(k)$. Then we pick η as a smooth cut off function around k_0 such that $\mathrm{supp}(\eta) \Subset V$. Define the operator

$$T := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathcal{O}}^{\oplus} T(k)dk,$$

where

$$T(k) := (1-\eta(k))L(k)^{-1} + \eta(k)(L(k)|_{R(I-P(k))})^{-1}(I - P(k)).$$

As in Theorem 4.7, the Schwartz kernel $K(x, y)$ of T is rapidly decaying as $d_X(x, y) \to \infty$. Thus, the asymptotics of the Green's function G are the same as the asymptotics of the Schwartz kernel G_0 of the operator $R-T$. To find G_0, we repeat the arguments in Subsection 4.3 to derive the formula

$$G_0(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{ik\cdot(h(x)-h(y))}\frac{\eta(k)}{\lambda_j(k)}\frac{\phi_k(x)\overline{\phi_k(y)}}{\|\phi_k\|^2_{L^2(M)}}dk, \quad x, y \in X.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we set $a := h(x) - h(y)$ and rewrite the smooth function

$$\frac{\phi_k(x)\overline{\phi_k(y)}}{\|\phi_k\|^2_{L^2(M)}} = \frac{\phi_{k_0}(x)\overline{\phi_{k_0}(y)}}{\|\phi_{k_0}\|^2_{L^2(M)}} + (k-k_0)\cdot\mu(k,x,y),$$

for some smooth G-periodic function $\mu : \overline{B} \times X \times X \to \mathbb{C}^d$. Now by applying Proposition 5.3, the proof is completed. □

7. Proofs of technical statements

7.1. **Proofs of Proposition 2.8 and Proposition 2.10.**

Proof of Proposition 2.8. Fixing a point $x_0 \in X$, we let

$$K := \overline{F(M)},$$

$$R := \max_{x\in K} d_X(x_0, x),$$

and

$$\widetilde{R_h} := \max_{(x,y)\in K\times K} |h(x) - h(y)|.$$

Due to Proposition 2.2 and the fact that $|\cdot|_S$ is equivalent to $|\cdot|$ on $\mathbb{Z}^d$, there exist $C_1 > 1$ and $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_1^{-1}\cdot d_X(g_1\cdot x_0, g_2\cdot x_0) - C_2 \le |g_1 - g_2| \le C_1\cdot d_X(g_1\cdot x_0, g_2\cdot x_0) + C_2,$$

for any $g_i \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $i = 1, 2$.

Now we consider any two points x, y in X. By (1), we can select $\tilde{x}$, $\tilde{y}$ in K such that $x = g_1 \cdot \tilde{x}$ and $y = g_2 \cdot \tilde{y}$ for some $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Since $\mathbb{Z}^d$ acts by isometries, we get

$$d_X(g_1 \cdot x_0, g_1 \cdot \tilde{x}) = d_X(x_0, \tilde{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad d_X(g_2 \cdot x_0, g_2 \cdot \tilde{y}) = d_X(x_0, \tilde{y}). \tag{25}$$

By (3), we have

$$h(x) - h(y) = h(\tilde{x}) - h(\tilde{y}) + g_1 - g_2.$$

Using triangle inequalities and (25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x) - h(y)| &\leq \widetilde{R_h} + |g_1 - g_2| \leq C_1 \cdot d_X(g_1 \cdot x_0, g_2 \cdot x_0) + \widetilde{R_h} + C_2 \\ &\leq C_1 \cdot d_X(x, y) + C_1 \cdot (d_X(x_0, \tilde{x}) + d_X(x_0, \tilde{y})) + \widetilde{R_h} + C_2 \\ &\leq C_1 \cdot d_X(x, y) + (2C_1 R + \widetilde{R_h} + C_2). \end{aligned}$$

Likewise,

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x) - h(y)| &\geq |g_1 - g_2| - \widetilde{R_h} \geq C_1^{-1} \cdot d_X(g_1 \cdot x_0, g_2 \cdot x_0) - (\widetilde{R_h} + C_2) \\ &\geq C_1^{-1} \cdot d_X(x, y) - (C_1^{-1} \cdot (d_X(x_0, \tilde{x}) + d_X(x_0, \tilde{y})) + \widetilde{R_h} + C_2) \\ &\geq C_1^{-1} \cdot d_X(x, y) - (2C_1 R + \widetilde{R_h} + C_2). \end{aligned}$$

The statement follows if we put $C := 2C_1$ and $R_h := 2C_1(2C_1 R + \widetilde{R_h} + C_2)$. □

Proof of Proposition 2.10. By Definition 2.7, any rational point in the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is an admissible direction of the additive function h and thus we have (4). By using the stereographic projection, one can see that the subset $\mathbb{Q}^d \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is dense in $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Hence, the density of $\mathcal{A}_h$ follows. Now we consider the case $d = 2$. For any point $x_0 \in X$, we denote by $\mathcal{A}_h(x_0)$ the subset of $\mathcal{A}_h$ consisting of unit vectors s such that there exists a point x in $\{x \in X \mid d_X(x, x_0) > R_h\}$ satisfying either $h(x) - h(x_0) = |h(x) - h(x_0)|s$ or $h(x_0) - h(x) = |h(x) - h(x_0)|s$. It is enough to prove that for any x_0, $\mathcal{A}_h(x_0) = \mathbb{S}^1$. Without loss of generality, we suppose that $h(x_0) = 0$. Let Y be the range of the continuous function $x \mapsto \dfrac{h(x)}{|h(x)|}$, which is defined on the connected set $\{x \in X \mid d_X(x, x_0) > R_h\}$. Then Y is a connected subset that contains $\mathbb{Q}^2 \cap \mathbb{S}^1$ since $h(n \cdot x_0) = n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Suppose for contradiction, there is a unit vector s such that $s \notin \mathcal{A}_h(x_0)$ and hence, $Y \subseteq \mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{\pm s\}$. Thus, Y cannot be connected, which is a contradiction. □

7.2. **Proof of Theorem 4.7.**

It suffices to prove the following claim:

Theorem 7.1. *Let ϕ and θ be two functions in $C_c^\infty(X)$ such that the metric distance on X between the supports of these two functions is bigger than R_h. Let $K_{s,\phi,\theta}$ be the Schwartz kernel of the operator $\phi T_s \theta$. Then $K_{s,\phi,\theta}$ is continuous and rapidly decaying (uniformly in s) on $X \times X$, i.e., for any $N > 0$, we have*

$$\sup_{s \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |K_{s,\phi,\theta}(x, y)| \leq C(1 + d_X(x, y))^{-N},$$

for some positive constant $C = C(N, \|\phi\|_\infty, \|\theta\|_\infty)$.

Let $K_s(k,x,y)$ be the Schwartz kernel of the operator $T_s(k)$. The next lemma is an analog for abelian coverings of [18, Lemma 7.15].

Lemma 7.2. *Let ϕ and θ be any two compactly supported functions on X such that $\mathrm{supp}(\phi)\cap\mathrm{supp}(\theta)=\emptyset$. Then the following identity holds for any $(x,y)\in X\times X$:*

$$K_{s,\phi,\theta}(x,y)=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{ik\cdot(h(x)-h(y))}\phi(x)K_s(k,\pi(x),\pi(y))\theta(y)dk,$$

where π is the covering map $X\to M$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the subset of $C_c^\infty(X)$ consisting of all functions ψ whose support is connected, and if $\gamma\in G$ such that $\mathrm{supp}\,\psi^\gamma\cap\mathrm{supp}\,\psi\neq\emptyset$ then γ is the identity element of the deck group G. Since any compactly supported function on X can be decomposed as a finite sum of functions in $\mathcal{P}$, we can assume that both ϕ and θ belong to $\mathcal{P}$. Then the rest is similar to the proof of [18, Lemma 7.15]. □

Another key ingredient in proving Theorem 7.1 is the following result:

Proposition 7.3. *Let $\dim M=n$. Then for any multi-index α such that $|\alpha|\geq n$, $D_k^\alpha K_s(k,x,y)$ is a continuous function on $M\times M$. Furthermore, we have*

$$\sup_{(s,k,x,y)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathcal{O}\times M\times M}|D_k^\alpha K_s(k,x,y)|<\infty.$$

Before providing the proof of Proposition 7.3, let us use it to prove Theorem 7.1.

Proof of Theorem 7.1. The exponential function $e^{2\pi i\gamma\cdot h(x)}$ is G-periodic for any $\gamma\in G$, and hence, it is also defined on M. We use the same notation $e^{2\pi i\gamma\cdot h(x)}$ for the corresponding multiplication operator on $L^2(M)$. Then we can write

$$T_s(k+2\pi\gamma)=e^{-2\pi i\gamma\cdot h(x)}T_s(k)e^{2\pi i\gamma\cdot h(x)},\quad (k,\gamma)\in\mathcal{O}\times G$$

It follows that for any multi-index α,

(26)
$$e^{i(k+2\pi\gamma)\cdot(h(x)-h(y))}\nabla_k^\alpha K_s(k+2\pi\gamma,\pi(x),\pi(y))=e^{ik\cdot(h(x)-h(y))}\nabla_k^\alpha K_s(k,\pi(x),\pi(y)).$$

Now we apply integration by parts to the identity in Lemma 7.2 to obtain

$$i^N(h(x)-h(y))^\alpha K_{s,\phi,\theta}(x,y)=\frac{\phi(x)\theta(y)}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{ik\cdot(h(x)-h(y))}\nabla_k^\alpha K_s(k,\pi(x),\pi(y))dk. \tag{27}$$

Note that due to (26), when using integration by parts, we do not have any boundary term. If $|\alpha|\geq n$, then the above integral is uniformly bounded in (s,x,y) by Proposition 7.3. When $\phi(x)\theta(y)\neq 0$, we have $d_X(x,y)>R_h$ and so, $h(x)\neq h(y)$ by Proposition 2.8. Therefore, the kernel $K_{s,\phi,\theta}(x,y)$ is continuous on $X\times X$. Now fix (x,y) such that $\phi(x)\theta(y)\neq 0$. Next we choose $\ell_0\in\{1,\dots,d\}$ such that $|h_{\ell_0}(x)-h_{\ell_0}(y)|=\max_{1\leq\ell\leq d}|h_\ell(x)-h_\ell(y)|>0$. Fix any $N\geq n$. Let $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_d)=N(\delta_{1,\ell_0},\dots,\delta_{d,\ell_0})$, where $\delta_{\cdot,\cdot}$ is the Kronecker delta. Then $|(h(x)-h(y))^\alpha|^{-1}=|h_{\ell_0}(x)-h_{\ell_0}(y)|^{-N}\leq d^{N/2}|h(x)-h(y)|^{-N}$. Consequently, from (27), we derive a positive constant C (independent of x,y) such that

$$\sup_{s\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}|K_{s,\phi,\theta}(x,y)|\leq C|\phi(x)\theta(y)||(h(x)-h(y))^\alpha|^{-1}\leq Cd^{N/2}\|\phi\|_\infty\|\theta\|_\infty|h(x)-h(y)|^{-N}.$$

Using Proposition 2.8, the above estimate becomes

$$\sup_{(s,x,y)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times X\times X}(1+d_X(x,y))^N|K_{s,\phi,\theta}(x,y)|<\infty,$$

which yields the conclusion. □

Back to Proposition 7.3, we first introduce several notions. Let $\mathcal{S}(M)$ be the space of Schwartz functions on M. The first notion is about the order of an operator on the Sobolev scale (see e.g., [35, Definition 5.1.1]).

Definition 7.4. A linear operator $A:\mathcal{S}(M)\to\mathcal{S}(M)$ is said to be **of order** $\ell\in\mathbb{R}$ **on the Sobolev scale** $(H^m(M))_{m\in\mathbb{R}}$ if for every $m\in\mathbb{R}$ it can be extended to a bounded linear operator $A_{m,m-\ell}\in B(H^m(M),H^{m-\ell}(M))$. In this situation, we denote by the same notation A any of the operators $A_{m,m-\ell}$.

A typical example of an operator of order ℓ on the Sobolev scale is any pseudodifferential operator of order ℓ acting on M.

Definition 7.5. Given $\ell\in\mathbb{R}$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}_\ell(M)$ the set consisting of families of operators $\{B_s(k)\}_{(s,k)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathcal{O}}$ acting on M so that the following properties hold:

- For any $(s,k)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathcal{O}$, $B_s(k)$ is of order ℓ on the Sobolev scale $(H^p(M))_{p\in\mathbb{R}}$.
- For any $p\in\mathbb{R}$, the operator $B_s(k)$ is smooth in k as a $B(H^p(M),H^{p-\ell}(M))$-valued function.
- For any multi-index α, $D_k^\alpha B_s(k)$ is of order $\ell-|\alpha|$ on the Sobolev scale $(H^p(M))_{p\in\mathbb{R}}$ and moreover, for any $p\in\mathbb{R}$, the following uniform condition holds
$$\sup_{(s,k)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathcal{O}}\|D_k^\alpha B_s(k)\|_{B(H^p(M),H^{p-\ell+|\alpha|}(M))}<\infty.$$

It is worth giving a separate definition for the class $\mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(M)=\cap_{\ell\in\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{S}_\ell(M)$ as follows:

Definition 7.6. We denote by $\mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(M)$ the set consisting of families of smoothing operators $\{U_s(k)\}_{(s,k)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathcal{O}}$ acting on M so that the following properties hold:

- For any $m_1,m_2\in\mathbb{R}$, the operator $U_s(k)$ is smooth in k as a $B(H^{m_1}(M),H^{m_2}(M))$-valued function.
- The following uniform condition holds for any multi-index α:
$$\sup_{(s,k)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathcal{O}}\|D_k^\alpha U_s(k)\|_{B(H^{m_1}(M),H^{m_2}(M))}<\infty.$$

We now introduce the class $\tilde{S}^\ell(\mathbb{T}^n)$ of parameter-dependent toroidal symbols on the n-dimensional torus [10].

Definition 7.7. The parameter-dependent class $\tilde{S}^\ell(\mathbb{T}^n)$ consists of symbols $\sigma(s,k;x,\xi)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- For each $(s,k)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathcal{O}$, the function $\sigma(s,k;\cdot,\cdot)$ is a symbol of order ℓ on the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ (see e.g., [18, Definition 7.3]).

[10]Note that for the case $n=d$, the class of parameter-dependent toroidal symbols was introduced in [18, Definition 7.3]. Nevertheless, the techniques and results on parameter-dependent toroidal pseudodifferential operators obtained in [18, Section 8] still work similarly for the general case $n\geq 1$.

- Consider any multi-indices α, β, γ and any $s \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Then the function $\sigma(s, \cdot; \cdot, \cdot)$ is smooth on $\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Furthermore, for some positive constant $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ (independent of s,k,x,ξ), we have

$$\sup_{s \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |D_k^\alpha D_\xi^\beta D_x^\gamma \sigma(s, k; x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(1 + |\xi|)^{m-|\alpha|-|\beta|}.$$

We also define

$$\tilde{S}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{T}^n) := \bigcap_{\ell \in \mathbb{R}} \tilde{S}^\ell(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

The class of pseudodifferential operators on the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ is also provided in the next definition.

Definition 7.8.

- Given a symbol $\sigma(x, \xi)$ of order ℓ on the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$, the corresponding periodic pseudodifferential operator $Op(\sigma)$ is defined by

$$(Op(\sigma)f)(x) := \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sigma(x, \xi)\tilde{f}(\xi)e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot x},$$

  where $\tilde{f}(\xi)$ is the Fourier coefficient of f at ξ.
- For any $\ell \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$, the set of all families of periodic pseudodifferential operators $\{Op(\sigma(s, k; \cdot, \cdot))\}_{(s,k) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathcal{O}}$, where σ runs over the class $\tilde{S}^\ell(\mathbb{T}^n)$, is denoted by $Op(\tilde{S}^\ell(\mathbb{T}^n))$.

Remarks 7.9.

(a) It is straightforward to check from definitions and the Leibniz rule that for any $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$, if $\{A_s(k)\}_{(s,k) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathcal{O}}$, $\{B_s(k)\}_{(s,k) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathcal{O}}$ are two families of operators in the class $\mathcal{S}_{\ell_1}(M)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\ell_2}(M)$, respectively, then the family of operators $\{A_s(k)B_s(k)\}_{(s,k) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathcal{O}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\ell_1+\ell_2}(M)$.

(b) If the family of operators $\{B_s(k)\}_{(s,k) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathcal{O}}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}_\ell(M)$ then by definition, the family of operators $\{D_k^\alpha B_s(k)\}_{(s,k) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathcal{O}}$ is in the class $\mathcal{S}_{\ell-|\alpha|}(M)$ for any multi-index α.

(c) $\mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is the class $\mathcal{S}$ introduced in [18, Definition 7.8].

(d) Given a family of symbols $\{\sigma(s, k; \cdot, \cdot)\}_{(s,k) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathcal{O}} \in \tilde{S}^\ell(\mathbb{T}^n)$, it follows from definitions here and boundedness on Sobolev spaces of periodic pseudodifferential operators (see e.g., [35, Corollary 4.8.3]) that the corresponding family of periodic pseudodifferential operators $\{Op(\sigma(s, k; \cdot, \cdot))\}_{(s,k) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathcal{O}}$ is in the class $\mathcal{S}_\ell(\mathbb{T}^n)$. In other words, $Op(\tilde{S}^\ell(\mathbb{T}^n)) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_\ell(\mathbb{T}^n)$ for any $\ell \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$.

Roughly speaking, the next lemma says that we can deduce regularity of the Schwartz kernel of an operator provided that it acts "nicely" on Sobolev spaces.

Lemma 7.10. *Let A be a bounded operator in $L^2(M)$, where M is a compact n-dimensional manifold. Suppose that the range of A is contained in $H^m(M)$, where $m > n/2$ and in addition,*

$$\|Af\|_{H^m(M)} \leq C\|f\|_{H^{-m}(M)} \tag{28}$$

for all $f \in L^2(M)$.

Then A is an integral operator whose kernel $K_A(x,y)$ is a continuous function on $M \times M$. In addition, the kernel of A satisfies the following estimate:

$$|K_A(x,y)| \leq \gamma_0 C, \tag{29}$$

where γ_0 is a constant depending only on n and m.

Proof. For the Euclidean case, this fact is shown in [2, Lemma 2.2]. To prove this on a general compact manifold, we simply choose a finite cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_p\}$ of M with charts $U_p \cong \mathbb{R}^n$. Then fix a smooth partition of unity $\{\varphi_p\}$ with respect to the cover $\mathcal{U}$, i.e., $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_p \Subset U_p$. We decompose $A = \sum_{p,q} \varphi_p A \varphi_q$. Given any $f \in L^2(M)$, the estimate (28) will imply the estimate $\|\varphi_p A \varphi_q f\|_{H^m(U_p)} \leq C\|\varphi_q f\|_{H^{-m}(M)} \leq C\|f\|_{H^{-m}(U_q)}$ for any p, q. Hence, we obtain the conclusion of the lemma for the kernel of each operator $\varphi_p A \varphi_q$, and thus for the kernel of A too. □

In what follows, we will prove a nice behavior of kernels of families of operators in the class $\mathcal{S}_\ell(M)$ following from an application of the previous lemma.

Corollary 7.11. *Assume that $\ell \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ and $\{A_s(k)\}_{(s,k)}$ is a family of operators in $\mathcal{S}_\ell(M)$. Let $K_{A_s}(k,x,y)$ be the Schwartz kernel of the operator $A_s(k)$. Then for any multi-index α satisfying $|\alpha| \geq n + \ell + 2$, the kernel $D_k^\alpha K_{A_s}(k,x,y)$ is continuous on $M \times M$ and moreover, the following estimate holds:*

$$\sup_{s,k,x,y} |D_k^\alpha K_{A_s}(k,x,y)| < \infty.$$

Proof. For such $|\alpha| \geq n + \ell + 2$, we pick some integer $m \in (n/2, (-\ell + |\alpha|)/2]$. Then by Definition 7.5, we have

$$\sup_{s,k} \|D_k^\alpha A_s(k) f\|_{H^m(M)} \leq C_\alpha \|f\|_{H^{-m}(M)}.$$

Applying Lemma 7.10, the estimates (29) hold for kernels $D_k^\alpha K_{A_s}(k,x,y)$ of the operators $D_k^\alpha A_s(k)$ uniformly in (s,k). □

The next theorem shows the inversion formula (i.e., the existence of a family of parametrices) in the case of $\mathbb{T}^n$. The proof of this theorem just comes straight from the proof of [18, Theorem 8.3]. We omit the details.

Theorem 7.12. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider a family of $2r^{th}$ order elliptic operators $\{(\mathcal{Q}_s(k)\}_{(s,k)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathcal{O}}$ on the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$. Assume that this family is in $Op(\tilde{S}^{2r}(\mathbb{T}^n))$ and moreover, for each $(s,k) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathcal{O}$, the symbol $\sigma(s,k;x,\xi)$ of the operator $\mathcal{Q}_s(k)$ is of the form*

$$\sigma(s,k;x,\xi) = L_0(s,k;x,\xi) + \tilde{\sigma}(s,k;x,\xi),$$

where the families of parameter-dependent symbols $\{L_0(s,k;x,\xi)\}_{(s,k)}$, $\{\tilde{\sigma}(s,k;x,\xi)\}_{(s,k)}$ are in the class $\tilde{S}^{2r}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $\tilde{S}^{2r-1}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, respectively. Moreover, suppose that there is some constant $A > 0$ such that whenever $|\xi| > A$, we have

$$|L_0(s,k;x,\xi)| \geq 1, \quad (s,k,x) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{T}^n.$$

We call $L_0(s,k;x,\xi)$ the "leading part" of the symbol $\sigma(s,k;x,\xi)$.

Then there exists a family of parametrices $\{\mathcal{A}_s(k)\}_{(s,k)}$ *in* $Op(\tilde{S}^{-2r}(\mathbb{T}^n))$ *such that*

$$\mathcal{Q}_s(k)\mathcal{A}_s(k) = I - \mathcal{R}_s(k),$$

where $\mathcal{R}_s(k)$ *is some family of smoothing operators in the class* $\mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

To build a family of parametrices on a compact manifold, we will follow closely the strategy in [14] by working on open subsets of the torus first and then gluing together to get the final global result.

Theorem 7.13. *There exists a family of operators* $\{A_s(k)\}_{(s,k)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathcal{O}}$ *in the class* $\mathcal{S}_{-2}(M)$ *and a family of operators* $\{R_s(k)\}_{(s,k)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathcal{O}}$ *in the class* $\mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(M)$ *such that*

$$(L_s(k) - \lambda)A_s(k) = I - R_s(k).$$

Proof. Let V_p $(p = 1, \ldots, N)$ be a finite covering of the compact manifold M by evenly covered coordinate charts. We also choose an open covering U_p $(p = 1, \ldots, N)$ that refine the covering $\{V_p\}$ such that $\overline{U_p} \subset V_p$ for any p. We can assume that each V_p is an open subset of $(0, 2\pi)^n$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and hence, we can view each V_p as an open subset of the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$.

To simplify the notation, we will suppress the index $p = 1, \ldots, N$ which specifies the open sets V_p, U_p until the final steps of the proof. Let us denote by i_U, r_U the inclusion mapping from $i_U : U \to \mathbb{T}^n$ and the restriction mapping $r_U : C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n) \to C^\infty(U)$, correspondingly. We also use the same notation $L_s(k) - \lambda$ for its restrictions to the coordinate charts V, U if no confusion arises. Then $(L_s(k) - \lambda)r_U$ can be considered as an operator on $\mathbb{T}^n$.

Let us first establish the following localized version of the inversion formula

Lemma 7.14. *There are families of symbols* $\{a(s, k; x, \xi)\}_{(s,k)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathcal{O}} \in \tilde{S}^{-2}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ *and* $\{r(s, k; x, \xi)\}_{(s,k)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathcal{O}} \in \tilde{S}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ *so that*

$$(L_s(k) - \lambda)r_U\mathcal{A}_s(k) = r_U(I - \mathcal{R}_s(k)),$$

where $\mathcal{A}_s(k) = Op(a(s, k; \cdot, \cdot))$, $\mathcal{R}_s(k) = Op(r(s, k; \cdot, \cdot))$.

Proof. We denote by $(L_s(k) - \lambda)^T$ the transpose operator of $(L_s(k) - \lambda)$ on V. Now let ν be a function in $C_c^\infty(V)$ such that $\nu = 1$ in a neighborhood of $\overline{U}$ and $0 \le \nu \le 1$. Define

$$\mathcal{Q}_s(k) = (L_s(k) - \lambda)(L_s(k) - \lambda)^T\nu + (1 - \nu)\Delta^2.$$

Observe that each operator $\mathcal{Q}_s(k)$ is a globally defined 4^{th} order differential operator on $\mathbb{T}^n$ with the following principal symbol

$$\nu(x)|\sigma_0(s, k; x, \xi)|^2 + (1 - \nu(x))|\xi|^4.$$

Here $\sigma_0(s, k; x, \xi)$ is the non-vanishing symbol of the elliptic operator $L_s(k) - \lambda$. Thus, each operator $\mathcal{Q}_s(k)$ is an elliptic differential operator on $\mathbb{T}^n$. In order to apply Theorem 7.12 to the family $\{\mathcal{Q}_s(k)\}_{(s,k)}$, we need to study its family of symbols $\{\sigma(s, k; x, \xi)\}_{(s,k)}$.

On the evenly covered chart V, we can assume that the operator $L_s(k) - \lambda$ is of the form

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\le 2} a_\alpha(x)(D + (k + i\beta_s)^T \cdot \nabla\tilde{h})^\alpha,$$

for some functions $a_\alpha \in C^\infty(V)$ and $\tilde{h}$ is a smooth function obtained from the additive function h through some coordinate transformation on the chart V. Similarly, since $(L_s(k)-\lambda)^T = L(k-i\beta_s)-\lambda$, one can write the operator $(L_s(k)-\lambda)^T$ on V as follows:

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\leq 2} \tilde{a}_\alpha(x)(D+(k-i\beta_s)^T\cdot\nabla\tilde{h})^\alpha,$$

for some functions $\tilde{a}_\alpha \in C^\infty(V)$. Then, on $\mathbb{T}^n$, the operator $\mathcal{Q}_s(k)$ has the following form:

$$\sum_{|\alpha|,|\beta|\leq 2} a_\alpha(x)\tilde{a}_\beta(x)(D+(k+i\beta_s)^T\cdot\nabla\tilde{h})^\alpha(D+(k-i\beta_s)^T\cdot\nabla\tilde{h})^\beta\nu(x)+(1-\nu(x))\Delta^2.$$

Put

$$L_0^{(1)}(s,k;x,\xi) := \sum_{|\alpha|=2} a_\alpha(x)(\xi+(k+i\beta_s)^T\cdot\nabla\tilde{h})^\alpha,$$

$$L_0^{(2)}(s,k;x,\xi) := \sum_{|\beta|=2} \tilde{a}_\beta(x)(\xi+(k-i\beta_s)^T\cdot\nabla\tilde{h})^\beta$$

and

$$L_0(s,k;x,\xi) = \nu(x)L_0^{(1)}(s,k;x,\xi)L_0^{(2)}(s,k;x,\xi)+(1-\nu(x))|\xi|^4. \tag{30}$$

Then the symbol $\sigma(s,k;x,\xi)$ of the operator $\mathcal{Q}_s(k)$ can be written as

$$L_0(s,k;x,\xi)+\tilde{\sigma}(s,k;x,\xi),$$

where the family of symbols $\{\tilde{\sigma}(s,k;x,\xi)\}_{(s,k)}$ is in the class $\tilde{S}^3(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Using the boundedness of $\nabla\tilde{h}$ and coefficients a_α on the support of ν, we deduce that the family of the symbols of $\{\mathcal{Q}_s(k)\}_{(s,k)}$ is in $\tilde{S}^4(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Thus, our remaining task is to find a constant $A>0$ such that whenever $|\xi|>A$, we obtain $|L_0(s,k;x,\xi)|>1$. Note that by ellipticity, there are positive constants θ_1, θ_2 such that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=2} a_\alpha(x) \geq \theta_1|\xi|^2$$

and

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=2} \tilde{a}_\alpha(x) \geq \theta_2|\xi|^2.$$

We define

$$\|a\|_\infty := \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=2} \|a_\alpha(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathrm{supp}(\nu))}+\|\tilde{a}_\beta(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathrm{supp}(\nu))}$$

and

$$A_p := \max_{(s,k,x)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathcal{O}\times\mathrm{supp}(\nu)} \left(|k^T\cdot\nabla\tilde{h}|^2+\theta_p^{-1}\|a\|_\infty|\beta_s^T\cdot\nabla\tilde{h}|^2+\theta_p^{-1}\right), \quad p=1,2.$$

Suppose that $|\xi|^2 > 2\max_{p=1,2} A_p$, then for any $p = 1, 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{\nu(x)}|L_0^{(p)}(s,k;x,\xi)| &\geq \Re\left(\sqrt{\nu(x)}L_0^{(p)}(s,k;x,\xi)\right)\\ &\geq \sqrt{\nu(x)}\left(\theta_p|\xi + k^T\cdot\nabla\tilde{h}|^2 - \sum_{|\alpha|=2} a_\alpha(x)(\beta_s^T\cdot\nabla\tilde{h})^\alpha\right)\\ &\geq \sqrt{\nu(x)}\left(\theta_p\left(\frac{|\xi|^2}{2} - |k^T\cdot\nabla\tilde{h}|^2\right) - \|a\|_\infty|\beta_s^T\cdot\nabla\tilde{h}|^2\right) \geq \sqrt{\nu(x)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, due to (30), if $|\xi|^2 > 2\max_{p=1,2} A_p + 1$ then $|L_0(s,k;x,\xi)| \geq (\sqrt{\nu(x)})^2 + (1-\nu(x))|\xi|^4 \geq 1$ as we wish. Now we are able to apply Theorem 7.12 to the family of operators $\{\mathcal{Q}_s(k)\}_{(s,k)}$, i.e., there are families of operators $\{\mathcal{B}_s(k)\}_{(s,k)} \in Op(\tilde{S}^{-4}(\mathbb{T}^n))$ and $\{\mathcal{R}_s(k)\}_{(s,k)} \in \mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $\mathcal{Q}_s(k)\mathcal{B}_s(k) = I - \mathcal{R}_s(k)$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_s(k) := (L_s(k)-\lambda)^T\nu\mathcal{B}_s(k)$. Since $\nu = 1$ on a neighborhood of $\overline{U}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}r_U(I-\mathcal{R}_s(k)) &= r_U\mathcal{Q}_s(k)\mathcal{B}_s(k)\\ &= r_U\left((L_s(k)-\lambda)(L_s(k)-\lambda)^T\nu\mathcal{B}_s(k) + (1-\nu)\Delta^2\mathcal{B}_s(k)\right)\\ &= r_U(L_s(k)-\lambda)(L_s(k)-\lambda)^T\nu\mathcal{B}_s(k)\\ &= (L_s(k)-\lambda)r_U(L_s(k)-\lambda)^T\nu\mathcal{B}_s(k) = (L_s(k)-\lambda)r_U\mathcal{A}_s(k).\end{aligned}$$

In addition, $\{\mathcal{A}_s(k)\}_{(s,k)} \in Op(\tilde{S}^{-2}(\mathbb{T}^n))$ according to the composition formula [18, Theorem 8.2]. Hence, the lemma is proved. □

Let $\mu_p \in C_c^\infty(U_p)$ $(p = 1,\dots,N)$ be a partition of unity with respect to the cover $\{U_p\}_{p=1,\dots,N}$ and for any $p = 1,\dots,N$, let ν_p be a function in $C_c^\infty(U_p)$ such that it equals 1 on a neighborhood of $\mathrm{supp}(\mu_p)$. By Lemma 7.14, there are families of operators $\{\mathcal{A}_s^{(p)}(k)\}_{(s,k)} \in Op(\tilde{S}^{-2}(\mathbb{T}^n))$ and $\{\mathcal{R}_s^{(p)}(k)\}_{(s,k)} \in \mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that

$$(L_s(k)-\lambda)r_{U_p}\mathcal{A}_s^{(p)}(k) = r_{U_p}(I-\mathcal{R}_s^{(p)}(k)). \tag{31}$$

Due to pseudolocality, $(1-\nu_p)\mathcal{A}_s^{(p)}(k)\mu_p \in \mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. This implies that $r_{U_p}\mathcal{A}_s^{(p)}(k)\mu_p - \nu_p\mathcal{A}_s^{(p)}(k)\mu_p \in \mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, and thus,

$$(L_s(k)-\lambda)r_{U_p}\mathcal{A}_s^{(p)}(k)\mu_p - (L_s(k)-\lambda)\nu_p\mathcal{A}_s^{(p)}(k)\mu_p \in \mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

By (31), $\mu_p I - (L_s(k)-\lambda)r_{U_p}\mathcal{A}_s^{(p)}(k)\mu_p \in \mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Hence,

$$\mu_p I - (L_s(k)-\lambda)\nu_p\mathcal{A}_s^{(p)}(k)\mu_p \in \mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

Since both operators $\mu_p I$ and $(L_s(k)-\lambda)\nu_p\mathcal{A}_s^{(p)}(k)\mu_p$ are globally defined on the manifold M, it follows that

$$\sum_p \left(\mu_p I - (L_s(k)-\lambda)\nu_p\mathcal{A}_s^{(p)}(k)\mu_p\right) \in \mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(M). \tag{32}$$

Because $Op(\tilde{S}^{-2}(\mathbb{T}^n) \subset \mathcal{S}_{-2}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ (see Remark 7.9), each family of operators $\{\mathcal{A}_s^{(p)}(k)\}_{(s,k)}$ is in the class $\mathcal{S}_{-2}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ for every p. Since $\{\nu_p\mathcal{A}_s^{(p)}(k)\mu_p\}_{(s,k)}$ is globally defined on M, we also have $\{\nu_p\mathcal{A}_s^{(p)}(k)\mu_p\}_{(s,k)} \in \mathcal{S}_{-2}(M)$ for any p.

Now define $A_s(k) := \sum_p \nu_p \mathcal{A}_s^{(p)}(k)\mu_p$ and $R_s(k) := I - (L_s(k) - \lambda)A_s(k)$. Then $\{A_s(k)\}_{(s,k)} \in \mathcal{S}_{-2}(M)$ and moreover, due to (32), the family of operators $\{R_s(k)\}_{(s,k)}$ is in $\mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(M)$. □

The statement of the following lemma is standard.

Lemma 7.15. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a compact metric space, $\mathcal{D}$ be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^m$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) and H_1, H_2 be two infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert spaces. Let $\{T_s\}_{s\in\mathcal{M}}$ be a family of smooth maps from $\mathcal{D}$ to $B(H_1, H_2)$ such that for any multi-index α, the map $(s, d) \mapsto D_d^\alpha T_s(d)$ is continuous from $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{D}$ to $B(H_1, H_2)$. Suppose that there is a family of maps $\{V_s\}_{s\in\mathcal{M}}$ from $\mathcal{D}$ to $B(H_2, H_1)$ such that $V_s(d)T_s(d) = 1_{H_1}$ and $T_s(d)V_s(d) = 1_{H_2}$ for any $(s, d) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{D}$. Then for each $s \in \mathcal{M}$, the map $d \in \mathcal{D} \mapsto V_s(d)$ is smooth as a $B(H_2, H_1)$-valued function. Furthermore for any multi-index α, the map $(s, k) \mapsto D_d^\alpha V_s(d)$ is continuous on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{D}$ as a $B(H_2, H_1)$-valued function.*

We now go back to the family of operators $\{T_s(k)\}_{(s,k)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathcal{O}}$. The next statement is the main ingredient in establishing Proposition 7.3.

Proposition 7.16. *There is a family of operators $\{B_s(k)\}_{(s,k)}$ in $\mathcal{S}_{-2}(M)$ such that the family of operators $\{T_s(k) - B_s(k)\}_{(s,k)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(M)$.*

Proof. Due to Theorem 7.13, we can find a family $\{A_s(k)\}_{(s,k)} \in \mathcal{S}_{-2}(M)$ and a family $\{R_s(k)\}_{(s,k)} \in \mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(M)$ such that

$$(L_s(k) - \lambda)A_s(k) = I - R_s(k).$$

Also, from the definition of $T_s(k)$, we obtain $T_s(k)(L_s(k) - \lambda) = I - \eta(k)P(k + i\beta_s)$.

Using the above two equalities, we obtain

$$T_s(k) = A_s(k) - \eta(k)P(k + i\beta_s)A_s(k) + T_s(k)R_s(k).$$

We recall from Section 4 that $P(k + i\beta_s)$ projects $L^2(M)$ onto the eigenspace spanned by the eigenfunction $\phi_{k+i\beta_s}$. Hence, its kernel is the following function

$$\frac{\phi_{k+i\beta_s}(x)\overline{\phi_{k-i\beta_s}(y)}}{(\phi_{k+i\beta_s}, \phi_{k-i\beta_s})_{L^2(M)}},$$

which is smooth due to Lemma 5.1. Thus, the family of operators $\{\eta(k)P(k+i\beta_s)\}_{(s,k)}$ is in $\mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(M)$. Also, the family of operators $\{\eta(k)Q(k + i\beta_s)\}_{(s,k)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_0(M)$.

We put $B_s(k) := A_s(k) - \eta(k)P(k + i\beta_s)A_s(k)$, then $\{B_s(k)\}_{(s,k)} \in \mathcal{S}_{-2}(M)$. Since $T_s(k)-B_s(k) = T_s(k)R_s(k)$, the remaining task is to check that the family of operators $\{T_s(k)R_s(k)\}_{(s,k)}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(M)$.

Let us consider any two real numbers m_1 and m_2. By Lemma 2.14, the operators $L_s(k)-\lambda$ and $L_s(k)Q(k+i\beta_s)-\lambda$ are smooth in k as $B(H^{m_2}(M), H^{m_2-2}(M))$-valued functions such that their derivatives with respect to k are jointly continuous in (s, k). On the other hand, we can rewrite (see [18, Lemma 7.7]):

$$T_s(k) = (1 - \eta(k))(L_s(k) - \lambda)^{-1} + \eta(k)\lambda^{-1}P(k + i\beta_s) + \eta(k)(L_s(k)Q(k + i\beta_s) - \lambda)^{-1}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 7.15, $T_s(k)$ is smooth in k as a $B(H^{m_2-2}(M), H^{m_2}(M))$-valued function and its derivatives with respect to k are jointly continuous in (s,k). Therefore, for any multi-index α, we have

$$\sup_{(s,k)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathcal{O}} \|D_k^\alpha T_s(k)\|_{B(H^{m_2-2}(M),H^{m_2}(M))} < \infty.$$

Moreover since $\{R_s(k)\}_{(s,k)} \in \mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(M)$, $R_s(k)$ is smooth as a $B(H^{m_1}(M), H^{m_2-2}(M))$-valued function and for any multi-index α,

$$\sup_{(s,k)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathcal{O}} \|D_k^\alpha R_s(k)\|_{B(H^{m_1}(M),H^{m_2-2}(M))} < \infty.$$

One can deduce from the Leibniz rule that the composition $T_s(k)R_s(k)$ is smooth in k as a $B(H^{m_1}(M), H^{m_2}(M))$-valued function and for any multi-index α, the following uniform condition also holds

$$\sup_{(s,k)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathcal{O}} \|D_k^\alpha (T_s(k)R_s(k))\|_{B(H^{m_1}(M),H^{m_2}(M))} < \infty.$$

Consequently, $\{T_s(k)R_s(k)\}_{(s,k)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathcal{O}} \in \mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(M)$ as we wish. □

We now finish this subsection.

Proof of Proposition 7.3. Proposition 7.16 provides us with the decomposition $T_s(k) = B_s(k) + C_s(k)$, where $\{B_s(k)\}_{(s,k)} \in \mathcal{S}_{-2}(M)$ and $\{C_s(k)\}_{(s,k)} \in \mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(M)$. Let $K_{B_s}(k,x,y)$, $K_{C_s}(k,x,y)$ be the Schwartz kernels of $B_s(k)$ and $C_s(k)$, correspondingly. It follows from Corollary 7.11 that for any multi-index α satisfying $|\alpha| \geq n$, each kernel $D_k^\alpha K_{B_s}(k,x,y)$ is continuous on $M \times M$. Furthermore, we have

$$\sup_{(s,k,x,y)\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\mathcal{O}\times M\times M} |D_k^\alpha K_{B_s}(k,x,y)| < \infty.$$

A similar conclusion also holds for the family of kernels $\{K_{C_s}(k,x,y)\}_{(s,k)}$ and thus, for the family of kernels $\{K_s(k,x,y)\}_{(s,k)}$ too. This finishes the argument. □

8. Concluding remarks

- Notice that Theorem 3.4 (see also [25, Theorem 2]) can be applied to operators with periodic magnetic potentials since this result does not require the realness of the operator L. On the other hand, the conditions that L has real coefficients and the gap edge occurs at a high symmetry point of the Brillouin zone (i.e., the assumption **A5**) are assumed in the inside-the-gap situation, mainly because the central symmetry of the relevant dispersion branch $\lambda_j(k)$ (see Lemma 2.23) is needed for the formulation and the proof of Theorem 3.1 (see also [18, Theorem 2.11]).
- The main results in this paper can be easily carried over to the case when the band edge occurs at finitely many quasimomenta k_0 in the Brillouin zone (instead of assuming the condition **A3**) by summing the asymptotics coming from all these non-degenerate isolated extrema.
  It was shown recently in [12] that for a wide class of two dimensional periodic second-order elliptic operators (including the class of operators we consider in this paper and periodic magnetic Schrödinger operators in $2D$), the extrema

of any spectral band function (not necessarily spectral edges) are attained on a finite set of values of the quasimomentum in the Brillouin zone.
- The proofs of the main results go through verbatim for periodic elliptic second-order operators acting on vector bundles over the abelian covering X.

9. Acknowledgements

The work of the author was partly supported by the NSF grant DMS-1517938. The author is grateful to his advisor, Professor P. Kuchment, for insightful discussion and helpful comments.

References

[1] S. Agmon, *Lectures on elliptic boundary value problems*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2010.
[2] ———, *On kernels, eigenvalues, and eigenfunctions of operators related to elliptic problems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **18** (1965), 627–663.
[3] ———, *On positive solutions of elliptic equations with periodic coefficients in* $\mathbf{R}^n$*, spectral results and extensions to elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds*, Differential equations (Birmingham, Ala., 1983), 1984, pp. 7–17.
[4] A. Ancona, *Some results and examples about the behavior of harmonic functions and Green's functions with respect to second order elliptic operators*, Nagoya Math. J. **165** (2002), 123–158.
[5] N. W. Ashcroft and N. D. Mermin, *Solid State Physics*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York-London, 1976.
[6] M. F. Atiyah, *Elliptic operators, discrete groups and von Neumann algebras*, Colloque "Analyse et Topologie" en l'Honneur de Henri Cartan (Orsay, 1974), 1976, pp. 43–72. Astérisque, No. 32-33.
[7] M. Babillot, *Théorie du renouvellement pour des chaînes semi-markoviennes transientes*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. **24** (1988), no. 4, 507–569.
[8] J. Brüning and T. Sunada, *On the spectrum of periodic elliptic operators*, Nagoya Math. J. **126** (1992), 159–171.
[9] ———, *On the spectrum of gauge-periodic elliptic operators*, Astérisque **210** (1992), 6, 65–74. Méthodes semi-classiques, Vol. 2 (Nantes, 1991).
[10] I. Chavel, *Riemannian geometry*, Second Edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 98, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. A modern introduction.
[11] M. S. P. Eastham, *The spectral theory of periodic differential equations*, Texts in Mathematics (Edinburgh), Scottish Academic Press, Edinburgh; Hafner Press, New York, 1973.
[12] N. Filonov and I. Kachkovskiy, *On the structure of band edges of 2D periodic elliptic operators.* arXiv:1510.04367, preprint.
[13] M. Gromov, *Hyperbolic groups*, Essays in group theory, 1987, pp. 75–263.
[14] V. Guillemin, *Notes on elliptic operators*, MIT, Spring 2005. `http://math.mit.edu/~vwg/classnotes-spring05.pdf`.
[15] J. M. Harrison, P. Kuchment, A. Sobolev, and B. Winn, *On occurrence of spectral edges for periodic operators inside the Brillouin zone*, J. Phys. A **40** (2007), no. 27, 7597–7618.
[16] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Second, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 132.
[17] M. Kha, *A short note on additive functions on Riemannian co-compact coverings.* arXiv:1511.00185, preprint.
[18] M. Kha, P. Kuchment, and A. Raich, *Green's function asymptotics near the internal edges of spectra of periodic elliptic operators. Spectral gap interior.* arXiv:1508.06703, submitted.
[19] W. Kirsch and B. Simon, *Comparison theorems for the gap of Schrödinger operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **75** (1987), no. 2, 396–410.

[20] F. Klopp and J. Ralston, *Endpoints of the spectrum of periodic operators are generically simple*, Methods Appl. Anal. **7** (2000), no. 3, 459–463.
[21] T. Kobayashi, K. Ono, and T. Sunada, *Periodic Schrödinger operators on a manifold*, Forum Math. **1** (1989), no. 1, 69–79.
[22] M. Kotani and T. Sunada, *Albanese maps and off diagonal long time asymptotics for the heat kernel*, Comm. Math. Phys. **209** (2000), no. 3, 633–670.
[23] P. Kuchment, *Floquet theory for partial differential equations*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 60, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1993.
[24] P. Kuchment and Y. Pinchover, *Liouville theorems and spectral edge behavior on abelian coverings of compact manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), no. 12, 5777–5815.
[25] P. Kuchment and A. Raich, *Green's function asymptotics near the internal edges of spectra of periodic elliptic operators. Spectral edge case*, Math. Nachr. **285** (2012), no. 14-15, 1880–1894.
[26] V. Ya. Lin and Y. Pinchover, *Manifolds with group actions and elliptic operators*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1994), no. 540, vi+78.
[27] W. Lück, *Survey on geometric group theory*, Münster J. Math. **1** (2008), 73–108.
[28] M. Murata and T. Tsuchida, *Asymptotics of Green functions and Martin boundaries for elliptic operators with periodic coefficients*, J. Differential Equations **195** (2003), no. 1, 82–118.
[29] ———, *Asymptotics of Green functions and the limiting absorption principle for elliptic operators with periodic coefficients*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **46** (2006), no. 4, 713–754.
[30] P. W. Nowak and G. Yu, *Large scale geometry*, EMS Textbooks in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2012.
[31] Y. Pinchover, *On nonexistence of any λ_0-invariant positive harmonic function, a counterexample to Stroock's conjecture*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **20** (1995), no. 9-10, 1831–1846.
[32] R. G. Pinsky, *Second order elliptic operators with periodic coefficients: criticality theory, perturbations, and positive harmonic functions*, J. Funct. Anal. **129** (1995), no. 1, 80–107.
[33] M. E. Taylor, *Partial differential equations I. Basic theory*, Second Edition, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 115, Springer, New York, 2011.
[34] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. IV. Analysis of operators*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1978.
[35] M. Ruzhansky and V. Turunen, *Pseudo-differential operators and symmetries*, Pseudo-Differential Operators. Theory and Applications, vol. 2, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010. Background analysis and advanced topics.
[36] L. Saloff-Coste, *Analysis on Riemannian co-compact covers*, Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. IX, 2004, pp. 351–384.
[37] M. A. Shubin, *Spectral theory of elliptic operators on noncompact manifolds*, Astérisque **207** (1992), 5, 35–108. Méthodes semi-classiques, Vol. 1 (Nantes, 1991).
[38] T. Sunada, *A periodic Schrödinger operator on an abelian cover*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **37** (1990), no. 3, 575–583.
[39] ———, *Group C^*-algebras and the spectrum of a periodic Schrödinger operator on a manifold*, Canad. J. Math. **44** (1992), no. 1, 180–193.
[40] C. H. Wilcox, *Theory of Bloch waves*, J. Analyse Math. **33** (1978), 146–167.
[41] W. Woess, *Random walks on infinite graphs and groups*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 138, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

M.K., Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-3368, USA

E-mail address: kha@math.tamu.edu